Consent Agenda

## February 1, 2022

## MET IN REGULAR SESSION

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session at 10:00 A.M. All members present. Chairman Wichman presiding.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## 1. CONSENT AGENDA

After discussion was held by the Board, a Motion was made by Belt, and second by, Shea, to approve:
A. January 25, 2022, Minutes as read.

UNANIMOUS VOTE. Motion Carried.

## 2. SCHEDULED SESSIONS

Motion by Belt, second by Schultz, to open public hearing on Pottawattamie County's amendment to current county budget for fiscal year 2021-22.
Roll Call Vote: AYES: Wichman, Belt, Grobe, Schultz, Shea. Motion Carried.
Motion by Grobe, second by Belt, to close public hearing.
Roll Call Vote: AYES: Wichman, Belt, Grobe, Schultz, Shea. Motion Carried.
Motion by Belt, second by Shea, to approve and authorize Board to sign Resolution No. 11-2022, a Resolution to Approve Pottawattamie County's amendment to current county budget for fiscal year 2021-22. Said Resolution is set out as follows:

## RESOLUTION NO. 11-2022

WHEREAS, there were necessary expenses incurred in several county departments, causing the budget of that department to exceed $100 \%$ of costs; and

WHEREAS, the Public Health, Medical Examiner, Board Supervisors, and Planning have exceeded their Budget due to said necessary expenditures; and

WHEREAS, the Amendment to the Fiscal Year 2021/22 Budget for the Public Health, Medical Examiner, Board Supervisors, and Planning shall be substantially as follows:

| DEPT \# | Revenue Amount |  | Expense Amount |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public Health -23 | $\$$ | 642,604 | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ | 642,604 | $\$$ |
|  |  |  | 855,790 |
| Medical Examiner -12 | $\$$ | 6,000 | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ |
|  |  |  | 15,000 |
| Board of Supervisors -01 | $\$$ | $10,553,903$ | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $10,553,903$ | $\$$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 40,000 | $\$$ |
| Planning -53 | $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 4 2 , 5 0 7}$ | $\$$ |

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors desires to allow those expenditures, and no tax increase will occur due to these expenditures; and

WHEREAS, the public had due notice of the Budget Amendment Hearing held on February 1, 2022, and at the hearing, due time was allowed for objections to any and all portions of the amended budget.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Pottawattamie County, hereby amends the Fiscal Year 2021/22 budget.

Dated this 1st day of February, 2022.

|  |  | R O L | LLVO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AYE | NAY | ABSTAIN | ABSENT |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tim Wichman, Chairman |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Scott Belt |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Lynn Grobe |  |  |  |  |
|  | O | O | O | 0 |
| Justin Schultz |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Brian Shea |  |  |  |  |

## ATTEST:

Melvyn J. Houser, County Auditor
Roll Call Vote: AYES: Wichman, Belt, Grobe, Schultz, Shea. Motion Carried.
Deb Masker from Southwest Iowa Leadership Academy appeared before the Board to give a presentation and provide an update on the 2022 Southwest Iowa Leadership Academy Retreat and potential funding by the County. Discussion only. No action taken.

Antonia Krupicka-Smith from the Council Bluffs Public Library appeared before the Board to give a presentation and provide an update on the Council Bluffs Public Library. Discussion only. No action taken.

Matt Wyant Director, Planning and Zoning appeared before the Board to give an update on the Public Health Building Project. Discussion only. No action taken.

Patricia Russmann / Executive Director, Thriving Families Alliance appeared before the Board to give a presentation on Community Plan for Pottawattamie County advance early childhood. Discussion only. No action taken.

After discussion was held by the Board, a Motion was made by Belt, and second by Schultz, to approve the Veteran Affairs Commission's recommendation to hire Margarita Dooley as Director for Pottawattamie County Veteran Affairs at an annual salary of $\$ 65,000$.
Roll Call Vote: AYES: Wichman, Belt, Grobe, Schultz, Shea. Motion Carried.

## 3. OTHER

After discussion was held by the Board, a Motion was made by Schultz, and second by Shea, to approve the Treasurer's office renovation work to Olsen Construction.
UNANIMOUS VOTE. Motion Carried.
After discussion was held by the Board, a Motion was made by Schultz, and second by Shea, to approve and authorize Chairman to sign the Final Acceptance Certification for Project RCC078(203) - 9A-78.
UNANIMOUS VOTE. Motion Carried.

## 4. RECEIVED/FILED

A. Reports

1) Sheriff's Report of Fees Collected and Disbursed for December 2021.
B. Salary Action(s):
2) Jail - Payroll Status Change for Steve Winchell.

## 5. CLOSED SESION

Motion by Schultz, second by Shea, to go into Closed Session pursuant to Iowa Code 20.17 (3)
for discussion and/or decision on labor negotiations / collective bargaining matters.
Roll Call Vote: AYES: Wichman, Belt, Grobe, Schultz, Shea. Motion Carried.

Motion by Grobe, second by Belt, to go out of Closed Session.
Roll Call Vote: AYES: Wichman, Belt, Grobe, Schultz, Shea. Motion Carried.
6. STUDY SESSION

The Board of Supervisors held a study session. Discussion only.
7. BUDGET DISCUSSION

Discussion only.
8. ADJOURN

Motion by Shea, second by Grobe, to adjourn meeting. UNANIMOUS VOTE. Motion Carried.

THE BOARD ADJOURNED SUBJECT TO CALL AT 3:08 P.M.

Tim Wichman, Chairman

ATTEST:
Becky Lenihan, Finance \& Tax Officer
APPROVED: February 8, 2022
PUBLISH: X

Vendor Name
3312 WEST BROADWAY PROPERTIES LLC
3RD DEGREE SCREENING INC
A AND L HYDRAULICS INC
AARON SOUCIE
ABBIE ASHCRAFT
ABC ELECTRIC INC
ABLE LOCKSMITHS
ADAM KLEIN
ADVANCE SOUTHWEST IOWA CORPORATION
AGRI DRAIN CORP
AGRIVISION GROUP LLC
AIRGAS INC
ALBIREO ENERGY
ALEGENT CREIGHTON CLINIC
ALEGENT CREIGHTON HEALTH
ALEGENT HEALTH BERGAN MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
ALEGENT HEALTH BERGAN MERCY HEALTH SYSTEM
ALFREDO GARCIA
ALICIA GEHRMANN
ALL COPY PRODUCTS INC
AMAZON CAPITAL SERVICES INC
AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK
AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION (APHA)
AMY JOBE
ANDREW MOATS
ANDRY HAYDUK
ANTHONY KAVA
ASHLEY GRAY
AT\&T MOBILITY LLC
AVOCA VETERINARY
BARBARA CHENEY
BAUER BUILT INC
BECKY LENIHAN
BERENS TATE CONSULTING GROUP INC
BILLS WATER CONDITIONING INC
BISHOP BUSINESS EQUIPMENT COMPANY
BLACK HILLS UTILITY HOLDING
BLUFFS ELECTRIC INC
BLUFFS PAVING \& UTILITY COMPANY INC
BLUFFS TAXI AND COURIER INC
BOB BARKER COMPANY INC
BODE DUE INC
BOMGAARS SUPPLY INC
BP ENTERPRISES INC
BRAND INDUSTRIAL SERVICES INC
BRANDON ALLEN
BREDA TELEPHONE CORPORATION
BRIAN MILLER
BRIAN SHEA
BRUMLEY SUPPLIES LLC
BUSINESS CLEANING SOLUTIONS INC
C \& J INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY INC
C \& P AUTO PARTS INC
CAPITAL ONE NA
CAPITOL PROCESS SERVERS INC
CARL H ROGERS JR
CARROLL DISTRIBUTING \& CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY INC
CDW LLC
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP
CENTURY LINK COMMUNICATIONS LLC
CENTURYLINK INC
CHASITY KEPHART
CHRISTIAN HOME ASSOCIATION
CHRISTOPHER JON ELLIOTT
CHS INC
CINTAS CORPORATION NO 2
CIOX HEALTH LLC
CIT BANK NA
CITIBANK NA
CITY OF AVOCA
CITY OF COUNCIL BLUFFS
CITY OF HANCOCK
CITY OF MISSOURI VALLEY
CITY OF OAKLAND
CITY OF WALNUT
CLAYS PUMP AND EQUIPMENT CORP

| Payable Description | Total Payments |
| :---: | :---: |
| RENT - PUBLIC HEALTH | 1,530.00 |
| PROF SVC - HR | 82.00 |
| ROADS/PARTS | 2,460.72 |
| REIMB EXP - SHERIFF | 118.97 |
| REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION | 81.87 |
| PROF SVC - NON-DEPARTMENTAL | 1,933.63 |
| ROADS/REPAIR - HANCOCK | 545.00 |
| REIMB EXP - IT | 82.66 |
| PROF SVC - PLANNING | 30,773.26 |
| ROADS/SUPPLIES | 784.11 |
| ROADS/REPAIR | 1,663.70 |
| ROADS/SUPPLIES | 435.86 |
| PROF SVC - JAIL | 3,479.00 |
| MED SVC - JAIL | 16.80 |
| MED SVC - JAIL | 1,020.00 |
| MED SVC - JAIL | 397.07 |
| MED SVC - JAIL | 3,096.00 |
| ROADS/ROCK | 58,820.60 |
| REIMB EXP - CO ATTORNEY | 117.62 |
| PROF SVC - WIC | 38.25 |
| SUPPLIES - B\&G | 4,161.16 |
| MO BILL - SWIA MHDS REGION | 22,127.77 |
| MEMBERSHIP - PUBLIC HEALTH | 205.00 |
| REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION | 191.52 |
| REIMB EXP - RECORDER | 43.29 |
| RENT ASSIST - GA | 550.00 |
| REIMB EXP - BOARD | 250.00 |
| REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION | 148.96 |
| MO BILL - EMA | 1,419.72 |
| PROF SVC - CONSERVATION | 22.00 |
| REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION | 134.96 |
| ROADS/TIRES | 5,736.00 |
| REIMB EXP - AUDITOR | 147.42 |
| PROF SVC - BOARD | 2,500.00 |
| MO BILL - JAIL | 495.16 |
| PROF SVC - CO ATTORNEY | 1,540.32 |
| MO BILL - JAIL | 12,857.99 |
| ROADS/REPAIRS - UNDERWOOD | 8,420.00 |
| ROADS/VOUCHER 11 -FINAL | 30,894.00 |
| TRANSPORT - JAIL | 25.75 |
| SUPPLIES - JAIL | 5,011.90 |
| ROADS/TIRES - 373 | 49.00 |
| SUPPLIES - JAIL | 2,205.54 |
| PROF SVC - SHERIFF | 558.13 |
| ENCLOSURE - NON-DEPARTMENTAL | 32,337.44 |
| REIMB EXP - SHERIFF | 132.58 |
| MO BILL - COMMUNICATIONS | 734.00 |
| REIMB EXP - SHERIFF | 287.30 |
| REIMB EXP - BOARD | 225.44 |
| ROADS/SUPPLIES - CENTRAL | 8,950.14 |
| MO BILL - CONSERVATION | 517.00 |
| PROF SVC - JAIL | 276.50 |
| SUPPLIES - SHERIFF | 23.95 |
| MO BILL - DHS | 83.56 |
| SVC FEES - BOARD | 75.00 |
| SURVEY - CONSERVATION | 7,375.00 |
| ROADS/SUPPLIES | 625.00 |
| SUPPLIES - IT | 245.88 |
| MO BILL - SHERIFF | 12,313.63 |
| MO BILL - IT | 1,079.30 |
| MO BILL - IT | 5,857.19 |
| REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION | 79.74 |
| PROF SVC - DHS | 3,825.30 |
| MED SVC - MED EXAMINER | 6,666.67 |
| FUEL - EMA | 38.69 |
| ROADS/SUPPLIES | 209.67 |
| RECORDS - CO ATTORNEY | 110.31 |
| PROF SVC - WIC | 175.84 |
| SUPPLIES - DHS | 824.19 |
| ROADS/UTILITIES | 63.94 |
| PROF SVC - EMA | 15,572.00 |
| MO BILL - CONSERVATION | 277.61 |
| RENT ASSIST - SWIA MHDS REGION | 46.29 |
| REIMB DEMO - BOARD | 44,284.60 |
| ROADS/UTILITIES | 42.00 |
| ROADS/PARTS | 835.41 |

ROADS/TIRES - 500
PROF SVC - IT
CONCERNED INC
PROF SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 1,579.50
CONNER PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES PC
MED SVC - JAIL
1,155.00
CONVERGEONE INC
CORNERSTONE COMMERCIAL CONTRACTORS INC
PROF SVC - IT
3,149.55
PROF SVC - BOARD
70,267.35
ROADS/PARTS- 365 268.19
位
COST ADVISORY SERVICES INC
COTT SYSTEMS INC
COUNCIL BLUFFS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
PROF SVC - BOARD
7,600.00
PROF SVC - AUDITOR 150.00
SPONSOR - CO ATTORNEY 500.00
MO BILL - JAIL 4,463.04
RCF - SWIA MHDS REGION 49,203.20
MO BILL - IT 6,539.31
MO BILL - RECORDER 42.75
PROF SVC - VA 221.21
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 265,931.65
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION 197.12
LANDSCAPING - WEST POTT SWCD 9,333.00
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION 64.51
PROF SVC - JAIL 608.57
SUPPLIES - B\&G 179.89
PROF SVC - B\&G 1,409.71
ROADS/PARTS 546.52

FUEL - CONSERVATION 1,794.27
REIMB EXP - PUBLIC HEALTH 408.96
DISTRICT DUES - RECORDER 50.00
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 8,443.08
SVC FEES - BOARD
PUBLICATIONS - BOARD 497.30
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 123.40
SVC FEES - BOARD 150.00
ROADS/PARTS 1,657.23
SUPPLIES - B\&G
ROADS/TRAINING 35.00
EBS RETIREES - JAIL 7,661.56
SUPPLIES - JAIL 4,427.29
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 92.66
RENT ASSIST - GA 600.00
SUPPLIES - JAIL 48,978.06
TRANSCRIPTS - CO ATTORNEY 45.50
PROF SVC - B\&G 2,117.33
PROF SVC - B\&G
REIMB EXP - CO ATTORNEY
29,410.00 270.00

MH SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION $\quad 1,929.03$
ROADS/FUEL
ROADS/UTILITIES
PROF SVC - NON-DEPARTMENTAL $\quad 2,880.00$
ROADS/UTILITIES - CENTRAL 678.87
PROF SVC - B\&G
PROF SVC - PUBLIC HEALTH 193.79
MO BILL - CONSERVATION 146.93
ROADS/UTILITIES 117.84
SUPPORT SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 918.00
RENT ASSIST - GA 300.00
PROF SVC - PUBLIC HEALTH 240.00
PROF SVC - WEST POTT SWCD 300.00
MO BILL - COMMUNICATIONS 487.09
PROF SVC - JAIL 267.45
PROF SVC - PUBLIC HEALTH 45.00
PROF SVC - IT $11,615.00$
SUPPLIES - WIC
PROF SVC - SHERIFF $\quad 1,187.00$
PROF SVC - B\&G
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 2,097.46
PROF SVC - CO ATTORNEY 167.05
MED SVC - JAIL $\quad 1,460.00$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ADVERTISEMENT - PUBLIC HEALTH } & 1,565.00\end{array}$
ROADS/UTILITIES 35.40
PROF SVC - JAIL 300.00
PROF SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 450.00
ROADS/PARTS $1,113.18$
SUPPLIES - JAIL $\quad 70.80$
ROADS/TOOLS 804.77
SVC FEES - BOARD 75.00
MO BILL - COMMUNICATIONS 505.45
ROADS/PARTS $\quad 3,672.16$
FUEL - SHERIFF $\quad 1,048.10$
BRIDGES - SWIA MHDS REGION 59,524.82
ROADS/TIRES $\quad 3,078.32$
SUPPLIES - JAIL 2,669.93
ROADS/SERVICES 122,666.43
ROADS/REPAIR

HOME DEPOT USA INC
HOSE \& HANDLING INC
HOTSY EQUIPMENT CO
HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY COUNCIL INC
INDOFF INCORPORATED
INFOSAFE SHREDDING LLC
INLAND TRUCK PARTS
INTERSTATE POWERSYSTEMS INC
IOBP (INSTITUTE OF BUSINESS PUBLICATIONS)
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
IOWA DEPT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
IOWA MUNICIPALITIES WORKERS COMPENSATION ASSOCIATION
IOWA OFFICE INTERIORS
IOWA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES
IOWA STATE SHERIFFS \& DEPUTIES ASSOCIATION
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
IOWA WASTE SERVICES HOLDING INC
IOWA WASTE SERVICES HOLDINGS INC
IOWA WEED COMMISSIONERS ASSOCIATION
IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
IVAN DELGADO
J B POINDEXTER \& CO INC
JACKSON SERVICES INC
JAMES HALL
JAMES KOHL
JASON STUDY
JASPER COUNTY (IA)
JDW MIDWEST LLC
JEFFERSON FARM \& AUTO LLC
JEFFREY W ANDERSEN
JEFFS CAR WASH
JEFFS WASH \& GLO
JENNIFER M MINCHEW
JEREDITH BRANDS LLC
JIM HAWK TRUCK TRAILERS INC
JODIE BECKMAN
JOHNSON HARDWARE COMPANY LLC
JON THOMAS
JONERIC PRODUCTS INC
JP LUMBER INC
JUSTIN SCHULTZ
KAMBY ENTERPRISES LLC
KAREN POTTER MAXWELL
KATHRYN E AND EDWIN T BAKER
KELTEK INC
KEY MASTERS OF GREATER OMAHA
KEY REAL ESTATE COMPANY
KIESLERS POLICE SUPPLY INC
KIMARIE MAASSEN
KONE INC
KRISTINA M RICHEY
KRONOS SAASHR INC
LANCASTER COUNTY (NE)
LANGUAGE LINE SERVICE INC
LARSEN SUPPLY CO
LAWSON PRODUCTS INC
LEADS ONLINE LLC
LEANNE GIFFORD
LEE BHM CORP
LEE BHM CORPORATION
LEWIS TOWNSHIP FIRE AND RESCUE
LOCKTON COMPANIES
LYMAN RICHEY CORPORATION
LYNDZE THOMPSON
LYNN GROBE
M\&K MILLS TREE SERVICE
MAIL SERVICES LLC
MARIA SIECK
MARILYN HEBING
MARILYN KENNEDY
MARK MERTES
MARK SIEH
MARNE \& ELK HORN TELEPHONE COMPANY
MARVEL THIEL
MATTHEW REEVES
MCMULLEN FORD INC
MECO-HENNE CONTRACTING INC
MEDIBADGE INC
MENARDS INC
MERCHANTS BONDING COMPANY (MUTUAL)
MID STATES ORGANIZED CRIME INFORMATION CENTER MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY

SUPPLIES - B\&G 385.48
ROADS/PARTS $\quad 1,267.90$
ROADS/SERVICE - UNDERWOOD 224.50
REGISTRATION - PUBLIC HEALTH 55.00
SUPPLIES - NON-DEPARTMENTAL 2,890.20
PROF SVC - DHS
767.00

ROADS/PARTS - $327 \quad 757.51$
ROADS/REPAIR - 374 48.53
MEMBERSHIP - HR/RISK 265.00
ROADS/SUPPLIES 1,039.27
PERMIT - NON-DEPARTMENTAL 350.00
WORK COMP - BOARD
SUPPLIES - AUDITOR
REGISTRATION - SWIA MHDS REGION 1,580.00
REGISTRATION - JAIL
ROADS/REGISTRATIONS 2,610.00
PROF SVC - JAIL 2,313.14
PROF SVC - ENV HEALTH $\quad 1,232.87$
ROADS/REGISTRATIONS 360.00
4TH Q 2021 UNEMPLOYMENT 4,080.81
MED SVC - JAIL
6,281.32
ROADS/PARTS
PROF SVC - B\&G
20,000.00
RENT ASSIST - GA
REIMB EXP - COMMUNICATIONS
SVC FEES - BOARD 60.00
PROF SVC - PLANNING 2,647.50
ROADS/TIRES - 717 497.02
ROADS/TIRES - 605 4,280.07
PROF SVC - B\&G 44.00
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 260.00
REIMB EXP - PUBLIC HEALTH 94.08
MO BILL - B\&G $\quad 13,658.49$
ROADS/PARTS 2,067.12
REIMB EXP - AUDITOR 15.12
PROF SVC - JAIL 722.00
MED SVC - JAIL 6,473.55
SUPPLIES - HR 543.68
ROADS/SUPPLIES 85.61
REIMB EXP - BOARD 108.24
POSTAGE - CONSERVATION 41.25
PROF SVC - WIC 100.00
RENT ASSIST - GA 241.00
PROF SVC - IT $\quad 3,969.00$
PROF SVC - JAIL 140.00
RENT ASSIST - GA 525.00
SUPPLIES - CONSERVATION 2,190.06
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION 47.04
PROF SVC - JAIL
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION
12,367.18 905.52
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { PROF SVC - IT } & 1,048.20\end{array}$
SVC FEES - BOARD 70.00
MO BILL - COMMUNICATIONS 281.50
SUPPLIES - JAIL $1,903.44$
ROADS/SUPPLIES 471.37
PROF SVC - SHERIFF $\quad 3,625.00$
REIMB EXP - CO ATTORNEY 270.00
PUBLICATIONS - BOARD 2,493.23
PUBLICATIONS - BOARD 227.93
TRANSPORT - EMA 5,000.00
WELLNESS - BOARD $\quad 18,490.00$
ROADS/MATERIALS 11,968.80
REIMB EXP - CO ATTORNEY 270.00
REIMB EXP - BOARD 265.04
ROADS/SERVICE 265.00
PROF SVC - TREASURER 2,937.27
REIMB EXP - PUBLIC HEALTH 137.76
REIMB EXP - RECORDER 71.96
REIMB EXP - AUDITOR 62.83
ROADS/REPAIR 94.00
SUPPLIES - SHERIFF 2,516.00
MO BILL - COMMUNICATIONS 592.46
RENT ASSIST - GA 500.00
REIMB EXP - IT
ROADS/REPAIRS - $332 \quad$ 2,189.76
PROF SVC - NON-DEPARTMENTAL 169,509.00
SUPPLIES - WIC 122.77

SUPPLIES - B\&G $1,964.47$
BONDING - BOARD 100.00

MEMBERSHIP - SHERIFF 250.00

RELOCATE/UPGRADE - NON-DEPARTMENTAL
66,852.96

MIDLANDS HUMANE SOCIETY
MIDWEST GLASS \& GLAZING INC
MIDWEST MEDICAL AND SAFETY INC
MIDWEST SPECIAL SERVICES INC
MIDWEST SPRAY TEAM \& SALES INC
MILLER ELECTRIC COMPANY
MINDEN CUSTOM MEATS INC
MIRION TECHNOLOGIES (GDS) INC
MMB LLC
MMB LLC
MMIC INSURANCE INC
MOSAIC
MTS PARTNERS INC
MUNICIPAL HOUSING AGENCY
MYRA NIXON
NAMI SOUTHWEST IOWA
NATIONAL SHERIFFS ASSOCIATION
NCH CORPORATION
NEBRASKA MACHINERY COMPANY
NEW CENTURY PHYSICIANS OF IOWA PC
NEWMAN SIGNS INC
NINA HOANG
NISHNABOTNA VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE NORTHERN SAFETY CO INC
NORTHWEST IOWA YOUTH EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER
NSG LOGISTICS LLC
OMAHA COMPOUND COMPANY
OMAHA COUNCIL BLUFFS METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING AGENCY
OMAHA COUNCIL BLUFFS PLUMBING INC
OMAHA DOOR \& WINDOW COMPANY INC
OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT
OMAHA SLINGS INC
OMAHA TRUCK CENTER COMPANY INC
OMNI CENTRE LLC
OMNI INVESTMENTS LLC
OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY
OUTDOOR POWER GROUP INC
PARALLEL TECHNOLOGIES INC
PARKWILD HEIGHTS LLC
PATRICK SONDAG
PEOPLESERVICE INC
PITNEY BOWES GLOBAL FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC
PITTSBURGH PIPE \& SUPPLY CORP
POPCO INC
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
POTTAWATTAMIE ARTS/CULTURE ENTERTAINMENT (PACE)
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY CONSERVATION BOARD
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY EMERGENCY MGT AGENCY
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY RECORDER
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY SHERIFF
PRIDE GROUP INC (THE)
QUADIENT INC
QUADIENT LEASING USA INC
R \& M HOUSING
R \& S WASTE DISPOSAL LLC
RAY MARTIN COMPANY OF OMAHA
RED OAK WELDING SUPPLIES
REDWOOD TOXICOLOGY LABORATORY INC
REGIONAL WATER INC
REM DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES INC
REPORTING SERVICES LLC
REX WOODBURY
RICHARD D GRIFFEN
RIVERBEND APARTMENTS LLC
ROBERT M MCCALL JR
ROSANNA THURMAN
S \& L SANITATION ENTERPRISES INC
SAINT JOHN LUTHERAN CHURCH
SAM ASHER COMPUTING SERVICES INC
SANDAU BROTHERS SIGN COMPANY INC
SANDY LAW FIRM PC
SAPP BROS INC
SCHILDBERG CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC
SCHROER \& ASSOCIATES PC
SCOTT BELT
SCOTT RUCKER
SDJD BROWN INC
SECURITY TRANSPORT SERVICES INC
SHELBY COUNTY (IA)
SHELBY COUNTY CHRIS A MYRTUE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SHELLEY WELTER

CONTRACT - ANIMAL CONTROL 7,121.63
PROF SVC - JAIL 462.00
SUPPLIES - JAIL 211.95
TRANSPORT - JAIL 3,400.14
ROADS/SUPPLIES 6,930.00
PROF SVC - IT 681.75
SUPPLIES - BOARD 350.96
SUPPLIES - JAIL
191.39

SUPPLIES - CONSERVATION 7.99
ROADS/PARTS 2,081.35
INS PREMIUM - JAIL $\quad 1,215.00$
SUPPORT SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 2,771.55
SUPPLIES - JAIL
352.00

RENT ASSIST - GA 50.00
REIMB EXP - RECORDER 76.75
SUPPORT - SWIA MHDS REGION 9,000.00
MEMBERSHIP - SHERIFF 135.00
PROF SVC - B\&G
ROADS/PARTS - 113
MED SVC - JAIL
503.39

3,459.30
618.80

SUPPLIES - PLANNING 910.33
REIMB EXP - JAIL 21.84
ROADS/UTILITIES 2,622.03
SUPPLIES - B\&G 54.20
TRANSPORT - SWIA MHDS REGION 118.75
ROADS/MATERIALS 13,200.84
SUPPLIES - JAIL $\quad 9,253.64$
PROF SVC - BOARD 22,823.00
PROF SVC - JAIL 2,293.25
PROF SVC - B\&G 2,472.57
UTILITY ASSIST - GA 85.00
ROADS/SUPPLIES 2,010.80
ROADS/PARTS 863.70
RENT - WIC 1,983.00
LEGAL REP - SWIA MHDS REGION 119.70
PROF SVC - B\&G 6,016.00
SUPPLIES - CONSERVATION 240.89
PROF SVC - JAIL
RENT ASSIST - GA
20,400.74 150.00

REIMB EXP - CO ATTORNEY 390.00
UTILITY ASSIST - GA 70.90
PROF SVC - DHS
ROADS/MATERIALS
574.17

56,400.00
MO BILL - PLANNING 79.25
28 E-911 - EMA 1,826,232.53
CAPITAL CAMPAIGN - BOARD 25,000.00
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { HOTEL/MOTEL TAX - CONSERVATION } & 1,460.00\end{array}$
PROCESSING FEES - CONSERVATION 99.23
911 CONTRIBUTION - BOARD
BOAT REGISTRATIONS - CONSERVATION 2,033,38. 375.40
TRANSPORT - BOARD 11,774.63
RCF - SWIA MHDS REGION $\quad 20,980.54$
POSTAGE - VARIOUS
PROF SVC - TREASURER
2,406.88
1,278.12
775.00

MO BILL - CONSERVATION 3,322.06
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { PROF SVC - JAIL } & 584.43\end{array}$
ROADS/SUPPLIES 745.80
SUPPLIES - JAIL
87.54

MO BILL - CONSERVATION $1,167.95$
SUPPORT SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 3,280.24
TRANSCRIPTS - CO ATTORNEY 163.20
REIMB EXP - SHERIFF
37.42

ROADS/REPAIR - CENTRAL 765.80
RENT ASSIST - GA $1,330.00$
PROF SVC - B\&G 6,125.00
MED SVC - JAIL $\quad 850.00$
MO BILL - CONSERVATION 121.00
MO BILL - CONSERVATION 25.00
MO BILL - IT 262.61
PROF SVC - PUBLIC HEALTH 3,000.00
LEGAL REP - SWIA MHDS REGION $\quad 61.56$
FUEL - SHERIFF
ROADS/ROCK
PROF SVC - JAIL
REIMB EXP - BOARD
10,270.78
88,713.00 180.00
90.32

RENT ASSIST - SWIA MHDS REGION 376.00
PROF SVC - SHERIFF $3,999.56$
TRANSPORT - JAIL 1,620.20
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION
24 HR CRISIS - SWIA MHDS REGION
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION

SHELLY HOVEY
SHIVE HATTERY INC
SHRED IT US JV LLC
SONYA KENNEDY
SOUTHWEST IOWA PLANNING COUNCIL
SPEE DEE DELIVERY SERVICE INC
SPEER FINANCIAL INC
ST LUKES HEALTH RESOURCES
STAMETS \& WEARIN PC
STAPLES CONTRACT \& COMMERCIAL INC
STAPLES INC
STAPLES INC
STAR EQUIPMENT LTD
STATE OF IOWA
STATE OF IOWA, SECRETARY OF STATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
SUNDQUIST ENGINEERING PC
SUSAN C HUNT REVOCABLE TRUST
SUZANNE WATSON
SYNCHRONY BANK
SYNCHRONY BANK
T\&N ACQUISTION COMPANY
TENEX SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS INC
TERESA SCHULTZ
TERRACON CONSULTANTS INC
THINK SPACE IT
THOMAS OLSEN
TIMOTHY WICHMAN
TITAN ENERGY SYSTEMS INC
TORYANN CROZIER
TRANSUNION RISK AND ALTERNATIVE DATA SOLUTIONS INC
TREVOR KLEPPE
TRIVIUM LIFE SERVICES
TW VENDING INC
ULTEIG ENGINEERS INC
UNDERWOOD FARM SUPPLY LLC
UNITED CHURCH OF AVOCA
UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
US BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
US BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
US BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
VERMEER SALES \& SERVICE INC
VERONICA ROSS
VINCE GUYER
VISUAL EDGE INC
VISUAL EDGE INC
VOCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER INC
VOLANO SOFTWARE LLC
W W GRAINGER INC
WAUBONSIE MENTAL HEALTH CENTER
WEI KAY ENG
WELLS FARGO FINANCIAL LEASING INC
WEST PUBLISHING CORPORATION
WESTERN ENGINEERING COMPANY INC
WESTLAKE HARDWARE INC
WEX BANK
WINDSTREAM HOLDINGS INC
YANT TESTING SUPPLY \& EQUIPMENT INC
YLONDA MAGUIRE
YOUTH SHELTER CARE OF NORTH CENTRAL IOWA INC
ZIMMERMAN SALES \& SERVICE INC

REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION 45.36
PROF SVC - CONSERVATION $1,875.00$
PROF SVC - WIC 336.30
TRANSCRIPTS - CO ATTORNEY 5.50
TRANSPORT - SWIA MHDS REGION 2,402.44
PROF SVC - DHS
607.86

PROF SVC - BOARD 375.00
ROADS/DRUG SCREENING 336.00
LEGAL REP - SWIA MHDS REGION 232.40
SUPPLIES - DHS 115.74
SUPPLIES - EMA 585.74
SUPPLIES - DHS 1,969.61
ROADS/PARTS - 397 147.32
PROF SVC - WIC 23.49
NORARY - JAIL 120.00
PROF SVC - ENV HEALTH 350.00
DRAINAGE - 2019 FLOOD - SOUTH NOBLE - PROF SVC 487.50
DRAINAGE - 2019 FLOOD - FENSLER - CROP DAMAGES 388.80
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION 285.10
MO BILL - SWIA MHDS REGION 327.15
MO BILL - CONSERVATION 875.87
SUPPLIES - SHERIFF 220.00
EQUIP - AUDITOR
REIMB EXP - SHERIFF 140.98
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 5,525.75
PROF SVC - IT
34,068.40
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION 198.80
REIMB EXP - BOARD 151.92
SUPPLIES - COMMUNICATIONS 444.27
PROF SVC - CONSERVATION 140.00
PROF SVC - SHERIFF 75.00
RENT ASSIST - GA 750.00
SUPPORT - SWIA MHDS REGION 533.00
SUPPLIES - JAIL 649.65
ROADS/SERVICE $1,822.00$
SUPPLIES - CONSERVATION $1,246.18$
RENT - WIC 50.00
MO BILL - COMMUNICATIONS 561.70
POSTAGE - DHS 26,865.00
MO BILL - EMA $1,412.47$
PROF SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 136.66
MO BILL - VARIOUS 9,310.70
ROADS/REPAIR 2,004.54
REIMB EXP - COMMUNICATIONS 91.46
REIMB EXP - SHERIFF 224.69
PROF SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 14.13
ROADS/SUPPLIES - CENTRAL 54.46
VOC/DAY - SWIA MHDS REGION 2,987.00
PROF SVC - CO ATTORNEY 70.00
ROADS/SUPPLIES 399.56
SUPPORT SVC - SWIA MHDS REGION 4,959.38
REIMB EXP - WIC 28.63
PROF SVC - DHS 971.62
PROF SVC - CO ATTORNEY $\quad 4,598.18$
ROADS/MATERIALS 6,840.86
SUPPLIES - B\&G 71.11
ROADS/FUEL
31,692.71
90.54

ROADS/REPAIRS - HANCOCK
REIMB EXP - SWIA MHDS REGION $\quad 180,925$
PROF SVC - DHS 768.18
PROF SVC - BOARD $\quad 10,717.51$

| Fund Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fund | Payment Amount |
| 0001 - GENERAL BASIC FUND | 455638.32 |
| 0002 - GENERAL SUPPLEMENTAL FUND | 2138935.17 |
| 0003 - GAMBLING RESOURCES FUND | 44620.16 |
| 0005 - WIC/FEDERAL FUNDING FUND | 6555.5 |
| 0007 - LOST CONSERVATION FUND | 950 |
| 0011 - RURAL SERVICES BASIC FUND | 51799.76 |
| 0017 - CO ATTORNEY DEL FINE COLLECT FUN | 1277.62 |
| 0019 - PROPERTY ACQUISITION \& IMPROVEMENT FUND | 286625.48 |
| 0020 - SECONDARY ROADS FUND | 590107.07 |
| 0027 - CO CONSERV LAND ACQ | 925 |
| 0036 - LOST SOIL CONS WEST FUND | 9333 |
| 0040-C.I.T.I.E.S. FUND | 114526.95 |
| 0046 - WEST SWCD/POTT CO STRUCTURES FUN | 300 |
| 1610 - BOND SERIES 2018 CAPITAL FUND | 42691.63 |
| 1620 - BOND SERIES 2020A CAPITAL FUND | 19629.29 |
| 1630 - BOND SERIES 2021A CAPITAL FUND | 15000 |
| 1640 - BOND SERIES 2021B CAPITAL FUND | 263701.84 |

1700 - BIKE TRAIL FUND

# Scheduled 

 Sessions
# Paula Hazelwood \& Shalimar Mazetis / Advance Southwest Iowa Corporation 

Presentation and brief update from Advance Southwest Iowa Corporation.

Swearing in of Rita Dooley, Veterans Affairs Director.


# STATE OF IOWA <br> OATH OF OFFICE 

Name of Official:
Office:

Rita Dooley

Director, Veteran Affairs

I, Rita Dooley, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of Iowa, and that I will faithfully discharge the appointed duties as Director of Veteran Affairs according to the best of my ability as defined in Iowa Code 35B.

Rita Dooley, Director Signature

Sworn to before me this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2022.

[^0]
## Grant Anderson / MAPA

Discussion and/or decision to approve and authorize Chairman to sign CDBG contract expansion request letter addressed to the Economic Development Authority.

Iowa Economic Development Authority
Attn: Ed Basch, Project Manager
1963 Bell Ave, Suite 200
Des Moines, IA 50315

RE: Request to Extend CDBG Contract End Date - Project Contract Number: 18-DTR-004
Mr. Basch,

On behalf of the cities of Carson and Macedonia, Pottawattamie County is requesting a second and final contract end date extension to the above referenced project. As of this letter, eight of the 12 participating buildings are substantially complete, three are at least 75 percent complete, and one is 49 percent complete; this building required a change order and a 90-day addition to the date of substantial completion due to unexpected wall repairs. These repairs are currently in progress, and once complete the planned storefront improvements will take a month to a month-and-a-half to install. In addition, COVID-19 related supply chain issues have caused delays for the delivery of certain building materials, specifically windows, doors/hardware, and other factory-made components. Some windows ordered in 2021 will not arrive for installation until March or early April.

An amended contract end date of May 31, 2022, is requested per the recommendation of the project architect and contractor (see enclosed correspondence). This date also coincides more closely with a matching grant award from the lowa West Foundation. As a condition of their award agreement, the foundation will only disburse grants funds proportional to funding from all sources. Therefore, CDBG funds are necessary to fully leverage the remaining foundation grant balance, and this is also why an extension through the month of May is being proposed.

Should you have any questions regarding this request, please contact Grant Anderson, MAPA, at 402-444-6866, ext. 3222 or by email at ganderson@mapacog.org.

Sincerely,

Tim Wichman, Chair
Pottawattamie County Board of Supervisors

Enclosure

# Dr. Christopher Elliott / County Medical Examiner and/or Cheri Dahlheim, Chief Medical Examiner Investigator 

Discussion and/or decision to approve Unclaimed Body Policy and related fees/expenses.

## Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office

## Title: Removal/Transport of Decedents

## Policy Number: 601

## Effective Date: January 11, 2012

## Revision Date:

## Authorized by: Board of Supervisor's (January 17, 2012)

## Policy:

It is the policy of the Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office to contact funeral homes to remove decedents from death scenes and to transport, when requested, the decedents to/from the lowa Office of the State Medical Examiner in Ankeny, IA, in accordance with the guidelines and responsibilities established below.

## Definitions:

PCMEO - Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office
IOSME - Iowa Office of the State Medical Examiner
NOK - next of kin; designee as defined in lowa Code 144C. 5
Death scene - physical location of the decedent
Removal of Decedents - see PCMEO Policy Number 601; removal of decedents from death scene
On-call funeral home - see PCMEO Policy Number: 602; On-Call Funeral Home
Unclaimed body - see PCMEO Policy Number 603: Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedent

## Policy 601: Removal of the decedents from death scene

## Guidelines:

When the PCMEO investigates and subsequently declines jurisdiction of a decedent, the PCMEO will attempt to locate the NOK to arrange for the removal of the decedent from the death scene.

- When the NOK is present or has been notified of a death, they may request the services of a specific funeral home for the removal of the decedent from the death scene. The funeral home chosen by the NOK will be notified by the PCMEO to respond to the death scene and remove the decedent.
- If the funeral home chosen by the NOK is located more than 30 miles away from Pottawattamie

County, the funeral home will be notified of the death and if they do not have a funeral home to partner within Pottawattamie County, the PCMEO may elect to contact the on-call funeral home for the removal. The on-call funeral home shall make arrangements with the funeral home chosen by the NOK to receive the decedent. Any costs, including removal, incurred by the on-call funeral home shall be forwarded to the funeral home chosen by the NOK for payment.

- When the NOK is unavailable or unwilling to request a specific funeral home, or when the NOK is not notified or is unknown at the time of the removal, the PCMEO will assume temporary responsibility for the removal of the decedent and notify the on-call funeral home to remove the decedent from the death scene.
- When the removal of the decedent has been completed by the on-call funeral home and the NOK selects the oncall funeral home for the decedent's final disposition, any costs, including removal, incurred by the on-call funeral home shall be forwarded to the NOK for payment.
- When the removal of the decedent has been completed by the on-call funeral home and the NOK selects a funeral home other than the on-call funeral home for the decedent's final disposition, the on-call funeral home shall make arrangements with the funeral home chosen by NOK to receive the decedent. Any costs, including removal, incurred by the on-call funeral home shall be forwarded to the funeral home chosen by the NOK for payment.
- When the removal of the decedent has been completed by the on-call funeral home and the decedent remains unclaimed for 36 hours refer to PCMEO Policy Number 603: Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedent.


## Responsibilities:

PCMEO shall be responsible for the following:

- Contact the funeral home requested by the NOK or the on-call funeral home.
- Provide the funeral home with the decedent's information.
- Ensure the removal of the decedent by the funeral home.

Funeral home shall be responsible for the following:

- Respond to the death scene.
- Remove the decedent from the death scene.


## Reimbursements:

The NOK assumes the financial responsibility for funeral home incurred costs, including the removal, to be billed to NOK only by the funeral home completing final disposition. If funeral home handling removal is not funeral home that handles final disposition, funeral home that completed removal will bill only receiving funeral home for removal fees.

For reimbursements regarding unclaimed decedents, refer to PCMEO Policy Number 603: Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedent.

## Policy 601: Transport of the decedent from death scene to/from the lowa Office of the State Medical Examiner

Guidelines:
When the PCMEO requests an autopsy of a decedent to be performed at the IOSME, the PCMEO will attempt to locate the NOK to arrange for the transportation of the decedent from the death scene to/from the IOSME.

Transport to the IOSME:

- When the NOK is present or has been notified of a death, they may request the services of a specific funeral home for transport of the decedent from the death scene to the IOSME. The funeral home chosen by the NOK will be notified by the PCMEO to respond to the death scene and transport the decedent to the IOSME.
- If the funeral home chosen by the NOK is located more than 30 miles away from Pottawattamie

County, the funeral home will be notified of the death and if they do not have a funeral home to partner with in Pottawattamie County, the PCMEO may elect to contact the on-call funeral home for transport.

- When the NOK is unavailable or unwilling to request a specific funeral home, or when the NOK is not notified or is unknown at the time of transport, the PCMEO will notify the on-call funeral home to transport the decedent from the death scene to the IOSME.
Transport from the IOSME:
- When the NOK selects a funeral home for the decedent's final disposition, the selected funeral home is responsible for contacting the IOSME and transporting the decedent from the IOSME to the funeral home.


## Responsibilities:

PCMEO shall be responsible for the following:

- Contact the funeral home requested by the NOK or the on-call funeral home.
- Provide the funeral home with the decedent's information.
- Ensure the placement of an identification tag* on the decedent and on the outside of the body bag.
- Ensure the placement of a lock tag* on the outside of the body bag.
- Ensure the removal of the decedent by the funeral home.

Funeral home shall be responsible for the following:

- Respond to the death scene.
- Provide a body bag.
- Ensure the placement of an identification tag* on the decedent and on the outside of the body bag.
- Ensure the placement of a lock tag* on the outside of the body bag.
- Immediate transport of the decedent to the IOSME, unless otherwise arranged with IOSME by PCMEO Investigator.
- Contact the IOSME to determine the release date and time of the decedent for retrieval.
*idontification tags and lock tags shall be provided by the PCMEO and funoral home assumes rosponsibility for maintaining a sufficiont numbor of tags on hand


## Reimbursements:

Funeral home requests for reimbursement of costs incurred must be submitted in writing to the PCMEO.

- Transport of a decedent to the IOSME is eligible for reimbursement up to $\$ 500.00$ paid by the PCMEO.
- Transport of a decedent from the IOSME is eligible for reimbursement up to $\$ 350.00$ paid by the PCMEO.
- Cost of removal is financial responsibility of NOK. Funeral home completing final disposition is responsible for billing NOK. Funeral home that completes removal but not final disposition is to bill receiving funeral home for removal fees.


## Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office

## Title: On-Call Funeral Home

## Policy Number: 602

Effective Date: January 11, 2012

## Revision Date:

## Authorized by: Board of Supervisors (January 17, 2012)

## Policy:

It is the policy of the Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office to identify certain funeral homes who shall be designated as an on-call funeral home and act under the direction of the Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office.

## Definitions:

PCMEO - Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office
NOK - next of kin; designee as defined in lowa Code 144C. 5
Death scene - physical location of the decedent

## Policy 602: On-call funeral home designation

## Guidelines:

A funeral home located within Pottawattamie County has the opportunity to be an on-call funeral home by agreeing to the terms and conditions in this policy.

- The list of PCMEO on-call funeral home(s) will be reviewed and renewed annually.
- The PCMEO will make the schedule and designate the response area in accordance with the location and availability of the on-call funeral home(s).
- The PCMEO assumes responsibility of the decedent until NOK assumes responsibility.
- The PCMEO reserves the right to remove an on-call funeral home from the list.


## Responsibilities:

Funeral home shall be responsible for the following:

- Respond to the death scene and remove or transport the decedent in accordance with the Guidelines, Responsibilities, and Reimbursements set forth in PCMEO Policy: 601; Removal/Transport of Decedents.
- Ability to store the decedent in a refrigerated and secure location until notified by the PCMEO, NOK, or the funeral home chosen by NOK.
- Contact the PCMEO if there is no contact from the NOK or the funeral home chosen by the NOK within 36 hours.
- Accept the possibility of removing and storing a decedent who qualifies as an unclaimed decedent under PCMEO Policy Number: 603; Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedent.
- If requested, complete unclaimed decedent final disposition in accordance with the Guidelines,

Responsibilities, and Reimbursements set in PCMEO Policy: 604; Unclaimed Decedent Disposition.

- Refrain from soliciting the NOK unless contact is initiated by the NOK.
- Release the decedent to the funeral home chosen by the NOK, if different. Any expenses for removal of decedent will be billed to the receiving funeral home.


## Reimbursements:

There are no reimbursements made to an on-call funeral home outside of reimbursements set forth in PCMEO Policy: 601; Removal/Transport of Decedents, PCMEO Policy 603; Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedents, and PCMEO Policy: 604; Unclaimed Decedent Disposition.

## Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office

## Title: Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedents

## Policy Number: 603

Effective Date: March 1, 2022

## Revision Date:

## Authorized by: Board of Supervisors

## Policy:

It is the policy of the Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office to identify next of kin (NOK) or authorized person in order to verify identification of decedent and allow for authorization of final disposition of decedent. Should NOK/authorized person not be identified at time of investigation at death scene, the Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office will attempt to locate NOK/authorized person in accordance with the guidelines and responsibilities established below.

## Definitions:

PCMEO - Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner's Office
IOSME - Iowa Office of the State Medical Examiner
NOK - next of kin; designee/authorized person as defined in lowa Code 144C. 5
Death scene - physical location of the decedent
Removal of Decedents - see PCMEO Policy Number 601; removal of decedents from death scene
On-call funeral home - see PCMEO Policy Number: 602; On-Call Funeral Home
Unclaimed body - see PCMEO Policy Number 603: Unclaimed/Unidentified Decedent

## Unclaimed Decedents

## Guidelines:

When the PCMEO investigates a death scene and NOK has not been identified or located, the PCMEO will arrange for the removal and transport (as needed) of decedent in accordance with PCMEO Policy 601 and PCMEO Policy 602. Investigations of decedents and determination of need for autopsy will be conducted at the discretion of the PCMEO Medical Examiner and in accordance with guidelines as set forth by IOSME and Iowa Code.

- PCMEO will attempt to identify/locate NOK within a 10 day period.
- PCMEO assumes responsibility of the decedent until NOK assumes responsibility or 10 day period ends, at which time the Pottawattamie County Medical Examiner designates disposition of decedent.

When the PCMEO investigates a death scene and NOK has been identified, PCMEO will arrange for the removal and transport (as needed) of decedent in accordance with PCMEO Policy 601 and PCMEO Policy 602. In the event NOK requests the services of a specific funeral home for the removal of the decedent from the death scene, the NOK assumes the financial responsibility for funeral home incurred removal costs.

Identification of NOK:

## Responsibilities:

PCMEO shall be responsible for the following:

- PCMEO will run a local newspaper notice for a period of
- PCMEO will attempt to identify and/or locate NOK through the following resources (not to be considered all inclusive):

Law Enforcement Agency with Jurisdiction

- Social Security Administration
- Veteran's Affairs
- Primary Physician
- Specialist Physicians
- Medical Facilities
- Landlord
- Neighbors
- Employer
- Social Media
- County Assessor
- www.Unclaimed.org
- Friends
- PCMEO will keep documentation of investigative efforts, to include, but not limited to sources utilized, dates, contacts made and information acquired.
- PCMEO will notify funeral home of NOK name, relationship, and contact information when identified/located.

Funeral Home shall be responsible for the following:

- Remove and transport (whereas necessary) decedent from death scene in accordance with PCMEO Policies 601 and 602.
- Provide refrigerated and secure storage for decedent for maximum investigative period of 10 days.
- Notify PCMEO if contacted by NOK and provide name, relationship, and contact information.


## Unidentified NOK: <br> Responsibilities: <br> PCMEO shall be responsible for the following should NOK not be identified within a period of 10 days:

- PCMEO will request authorization for cremation form from funeral home and provide funeral home with completed authorization by PCMEO Medical Examiner.
- PCMEO Medical Examiner will sign permit for cremation.
- PCMEO will take cremains into custody and maintain in a secure location.
- PCMEO will release cremains of decedent to NOK if identified after 10 day period.

Funeral Home shall be responsible for the following:

- Funeral home will notify PCMEO at end of 10 day period if anyone has contacted Funeral Home regarding decedent.
- Funeral home will provide authorization for cremation form to PCMEO.
- Funeral home will submit request for cremation after authorization obtained.
- Funeral home will conduct disposition of remains in accordance with lowa Code.


## Reimbursements:

- Funeral Home request for reimbursement of costs incurred in an itemized statement must be submitted, in writing, to the PCMEO.
- Funeral Home is eligible for reimbursement of $\$ 1000.00$, paid by the PCMEO, for the disposition of unclaimed decedent with unidentified NOK, including, but not limited to: removal, mileage, storage for 1-10 days, cremation, and related supplies.
- There are no reimbursements made to a Funeral Home for removal or disposition of decedents where NOK has been identified and notified. Funeral home is responsible for billing NOK for related costs of disposition, to include removal, supplies, disposition, and any other fees as related to such.
- There are no reimbursements made to a Funeral Home when designated by request of NOK, for removal and disposition of decedents, should NOK refuse to accept financial responsibility for costs incurred.
- Should NOK be identified after Funeral Home reimbursement, NOK is responsible for the reimbursement of disposition, to be billed by the PCMEO.
- The PCMEO will waive $\$ 75.00$ fee to funeral home for cremation of unclaimed persons.


## Unidentified Decedents:

## Guidelines:

When the PCMEO investigates a death scene and the decedent is unidentified, the PCMEO shall arrange for the removal and transport of decedent to IOSME for autopsy, in accordance with the guidelines, responsibilities and reimbursements as set forth per Iowa Code and at the discretion of the IOSME, the PCMEO Medical Examiner, and PCMEO Policies 601 and 602. When decedent remains unidentified and therefore unclaimed, disposition of said decedent will be burial due to unidentified status.

## Responsibilities:

PCMEO shall be responsible for the following:

- PCMEO will advise funeral home of unidentified status of decedent.
- PCMEO will request embalming authorization from funeral home, which PCMEO Medical Examiner will authorize.

Funeral Home shall be responsible for the following:

- Funeral Home will provide form to authorize embalming to PCMEO Medical Examiner.
- Funeral Home will arrange for disposition of decedent, to include removal, storage, embalming, procurement of gravesite, open and close of said grave site, vault, casket and any other necessary supplies for disposition.
- Funeral home will keep record of location of decedent and provide to PCMEO.


## Reimbursements:

- Funeral home request for reimbursement of costs incurred in an itemized statement must be submitted, in writing, to the PCMEO.
- Funeral home is eligible for reimbursement of $\$ 3000.00$, paid by the PCMEO, for the disposition of unidentified decedent, including, but not limited to: removal, mileage, storage for 1-10 days, embalming, casket, burial site, open and close of burial site, vault, and related supplies and costs.
- Should decedent be identified after Funeral Home reimbursement, NOK (if identified) is responsible for the reimbursement of disposition, to be billed by the PCMEO.


## John Rasmussen/Engineer

Discussion concerning traffic control for Lewis Township Volunteer Fire Department.

## TRAFFIC ENGINEERING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

## FINAL

## County of Pottawattamie, Iowa

## Traffic and Safety Study



Prepared for:
County of Pottawattamie, IA
In Cooperation With:
lowa Department of Transportation \&
U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

May 4, 2018


HRGreen

# INFORMATION SHEET <br> IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> TRAFFIC ENGINEERING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM <br> <br> COUNTY OF POTTAWATTAMIE TRAFFIC AND SAFETY STUDY <br> <br> COUNTY OF POTTAWATTAMIE TRAFFIC AND SAFETY STUDY <br> May 4, 2018 

1. Local Jurisdiction: County of Pottawattamie, IA
2. Reason TEAP Study Originated: The County of Pottawattamie was concerned with the safety and operations of the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection. The County requested the evaluation of the potential traffic control strategies appropriate at the intersection with consideration given to the emergency vehicle preemption needs of the Lewis Township Volunteer Fire Department located at the northwest corner of this intersection.
3. Scope of Services Provided: Performed field review and observation of existing conditions, reviewed vehicle count data, evaluated relevant crash history and traffic operations, evaluated traffic signal, examined intersection sight distances, and considered potential improvements.
4. The Consultant, HR Green, submitted a final report dated May 4, 2018 with the following recommendations:

## Short Term Recommendations

- Refresh/replace pavement markings and stop bar markings
- Consider the addition of lane designation arrow pavement markings to the eastbound lowa Highway 92 right turn lane.
- Install emergency vehicle warning signs with activated flashing beacons


## Long Term Recommendations

- Review traffic conditions and consider installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue
- Semi-actuated detection with emergency vehicle pre-emption and major road dilemma zone detection
- Install Advanced Warning Assemblies with activated beacons in both eastbound and westbound lowa Highway 92 approach directions

5. The order of magnitude construction cost opinions for recommended improvements:

## Short-Term:

A. Linear pavement markings: $\$ 0.50$ per linear foot
B. Stop bar pavement markings: $\$ 200-\$ 300$ per approach
C. Remove/relocate existing signing: \$200-\$300 per assembly
D. Emergency vehicle warning sign with flashing beacon: \$15,000-\$30,000

Long-Term:
A. Advance warning assembly for traffic signal: \$7,500-\$15,000 (Further Study Necessary)
B. Traffic signal installation: $\$ 200,000-\$ 300,000$ (Further Study Necessary)
6. Potential funding sources include the County-State Traffic Engineering Program (CSTEP), and Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP).

# Traffic Engineering Assistance Program <br> Traffic and Safety Study <br> Pottawattamie County, lowa 

FINAL Report

May 2018
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## INTRODUCTION

## Purpose and Study Objective

At the request of the lowa Department of Transportation (DOT) and the County of Pottawattamie, lowa, on behalf of the Lewis Township, through the lowa DOT Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP), this study evaluated traffic operations and safety at the intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue within the County of Pottawattamie, lowa. The study examined existing traffic patterns, traffic control, and roadway geometry at the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection. Recommendations for improvements and possible funding sources to implement the recommended improvements are contained within the report.

Lewis Township is a political township within Pottawattamie County which provides governmental functions such as fire protection, cemetery management, and fence maintenance and boundary dispute resolution within the township. The County of Pottawattamie initiated the study to evaluate the potential traffic control strategies appropriate at the intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue with consideration given to the emergency vehicle preemption needs of the Lewis Township Volunteer Fire Department located at the northwest corner of this intersection. The County of Pottawattamie, on behalf of the Lewis Township, would like to evaluate the potential of installing a traffic signal system with emergency vehicle activation at this intersection.

## BACKGROUND

## Study Location

Pottawattamie County is located in the southwest of the State of lowa, along the Missouri River, adjacent to the State of Nebraska. In 2010, the population of Pottawattamie County was approximately 93,000 people. Lewis Township is located within Pottawattamie County, outside the municipal limits of Council Bluffs, with an approximate population of 13,000 people. The location of Pottawattamie County is shown in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1 - Location of Pottawattamie County, lowa


The study area includes the corridor of lowa Highway 92 within Pottawattamie County and the Lewis Township with a primary focus on the intersection with Cypress Avenue and the surrounding area.

The intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue is located on the mid-north area of Lewis Township and provides access to residential homes on both sides of the highway as well as some commercial and governmental facilities including: the Casey's General Store/Gas Station and the Kanesville Quilting store on the south and the Lewis Township Fire Department on the north. The study intersection is of concern to the Lewis Township Fire Department because the minor road (Cypress Avenue) is currently stop controlled and can prove difficult to obtain sufficient gaps for the emergency vehicles to access lowa Highway 92. The Lewis Township Fire Department responds to approximately 420 calls per year (approximately eight calls per week). Approximately 90 percent of the calls require access to lowa Highway 92 with eastbound along the highway being the primary response direction, requiring a left-turn onto lowa Highway 92.

Exhibit 2 shows the study area and the location of the study intersection.

## Exhibit 2 - Project Study Area



Iowa Highway 92 is a primary route that runs from east to west across the state of lowa connecting Nebraska Highway 92 and Illinois Route 92. It begins at the Missouri River in the west and ends at the Mississippi River in the east. The highway carries intra-city traffic as well as regional traffic.

Iowa Highway 92 transitions from a four-lane urban highway with lower speeds west of the study area to a typical two-lane rural highway east of the study area. Iowa Highway 92 has a four-lane cross section design in the study area with raised median. The posted speed limit through the study area is 50 mph .
The nearest signalized intersection, approximately 3,100 feet to the west of the study intersection, is the intersection of Valley View Drive/Concord Loop \& lowa Highway 92. Both minor roads of this intersection, Valley View Drive and Concord Loop, have a twolane undivided geometric design and appear to serve as major traffic collectors of the residential areas on both side of lowa Highway 92. There are no signalized intersections in close vicinity to the east of the study intersection.

## STUDY AREA FIELD REVIEW

HR Green staff conducted a field review of the study area on Tuesday, November $7^{\text {th }}$, 2017. The field review included conversations with Pottawattamie County and Lewis Township representatives and site observations.

Through the field review, concerns were noted and observations were made at the study area and are described in greater detail below.

## Study Intersection: lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue

The intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue is a four leg intersection with stop control on the minor streets (Cypress Ave. and Virginia Hills Rd.). There are no developed properties within the immediate study intersection area. Land uses near the study intersection include residential homes on both sides of lowa Highway 92, commercial properties including the Casey's General Store and Gas Station to the southeast, and the Lewis Township Fire Department to the northwest of the intersection.

Iowa Highway 92 has a four lane cross section in the immediate vicinity of the study intersection with two approximately 12 foot lanes in each direction. The eastbound direction has a paved shoulder approximately 8 foot wide while the westbound direction has a granular shoulder approximately 10 foot wide. There exist dedicated left-turn lanes for both eastbound and westbound directions with raised medians. The left-turn storage length is approximately 120 feet for the westbound traffic and 140 feet for the eastbound traffic. In addition, a dedicated right-turn lane is provided for the eastbound traffic with an approximated length of 105 feet. There exists a vertical curve to the west of the study intersection which impedes sight distance to and from Cypress Avenue.

Both of the cross roads at the study intersection, Cypress Avenue and Virginia Hills Road, have a two lane cross section design with varied lane widths of approximately 11 feet and 16 feet, respectively.

Iowa Highway 92 has a 50 mph posted speed limit and Cypress Avenue has a 25 mph posted speed limit. Street lighting exists at the southeast quadrant of the study intersection. There are no sidewalks or pedestrian crosswalk pavement markings at the intersection.

Pavement markings within the study area include center line, edge line and stop lines. Pavement markings found within the study area were observed to be weathered and faded at some locations.

Exhibit 3 depicts the study intersection and its features.

Exhibit 3 - Study Intersection


Exhibit 4 illustrates the views from the south leg (Virginia Hills Rd.) and the north leg (Cypress Ave.) of the study intersection.

Exhibit 4 - Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue Intersection Approach Legs


Virginia Hills Rd Looking West at the Intersection


Cypress Ave Looking West at the Intersection


Virginia Hills Rd Looking East at the Intersection


Cypress Ave Looking East at the Intersection

## CRASH HISTORY/INFORMATION

## Iowa DOT SAVER Analysis Tool

HR Green compiled and reviewed crash data for the study intersection. The crash data was compiled using web-SAVER application accessible through the lowa DOT website. The crash data review includes the most recent five years of available crash data (20122016).

The following is a summary of the crash history for the study intersection. The crash report from web-SAVER for the study intersection is contained in Appendix A.

Crash rate per MEV (Million Entering Vehicle) were calculated for the study intersection based on the 2016 AADT data for Pottawattamie County as well as the approximation of the ADT for Cypress Ave where AADT data is not available.

## Study Intersection: Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue

- 5 Total Crashes
- $1 / 5$ = Possible Injury Incident
- $1 / 1$ = Failure to Yield Right-of-Way: Making Left Turn
- $4 / 5$ = Property Damage Only
- $4 / 4=$ Animal Related
- 0.28 Crashes/MEV (Million Entering Vehicles) compared to 0.9 Crashes/MEV Category Type Statewide Average (Primary with City Street)


## Crash History/Information Summary

From reviewing the crash history data, the major cause of crashes within the study area were animal related incidents, which occurred in four of the five crashes at this intersection. The second major cause of crashes was Failure to Yield Right of Way when making left turns, which occurred in one of the five crashes at the study intersection. The crash rate calculated at the study intersection was approximated to be 0.28 crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The crash rate over the five most recent years at the study intersection is below the statewide average for a comparable road system and severity crash rate of 0.90 Crashes/MEV (Primary with City Street).

## TRAFFIC HISTORY/INFORMATION

Traffic flow data was attained from the lowa DOT for Pottawattamie County. Annual average daily traffic ( 2016 AADT) along lowa Highway 92 in the vicinity of the intersection with Cypress Avenue is approximately 9,300 vehicles per day (vpd). Annual average daily traffic (2016 AADT) along Virginia Hills Avenue in the vicinity of the intersection with US Highway 92 is approximately 280 vehicles per day (vpd). AADT data attained by the lowa DOT can be found within Appendix B.

Pottawattamie County provided the most recent (2017) 8-hour intersection turning movement counts for the study intersection. Turning movement counts were conducted on Tuesday, December 12, 2017 and included collection periods from 6:00-9:00 AM (AM Period), 11:00 AM - 1:00 PM (Mid-Day Period) and 3:00-6:00 PM (PM Period). The turning movement count data is provided in Appendix C. The AM, MID and PM peak hour turning movement counts for each intersection are shown below in Exhibit 5.

Exhibit 5 - Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue Turning Movement Counts


## SIGHT DISTANCE REVIEW

Sight distance is a measure of the length of roadway that is visible to the driver. The roadway design should consider the driver's ability to see ahead a sufficient distance to safely operate a vehicle in order to avoid striking an unexpected object in the traveled way. Four aspects of sight distance are considered for safe and efficient roadway design: stopping sight distance, decision sight distance, intersection sight distance, and passing sight distance.
With respect to the project needs, only stopping sight distance and intersection sight distance will be discussed within this report.

## Stopping Sight Distance

The stopping sight distance should be of sufficient length to allow a vehicle traveling at or near the design speed to come to a complete stop before reaching a stationary object in the traveled way. Stopping sight distance is the sum of two components comprising the brake reaction time and the braking distance. The individual components represent the distance a vehicle travels from the moment of object recognition until the brake is applied and the distance a vehicle travels from the initial brake application until the vehicle comes to a complete stop.
Stopping sight distances for the study intersection were derived from Section 3.2.2, Stopping Sight Distance, in the 2011 Edition of A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). The brake reaction time of 2.5 seconds was used in the determination of brake reaction distance and the deceleration rate of $11.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ was
used in the determination of braking distance. These values encompass the operating capabilities of most drivers and vehicles, based on AASHTO guidance.

Table 1 shows the AASHTO calculated stopping sight distances from a major approach based on applicable lowa Highway 92 analysis design speeds. These distances are minimum values and greater distances should be used whenever practical to ensure a higher margin of error for all drivers. It should be noted that these values represent stopping sight distances required for a level roadway and highways on grade will require modification of these values.

Table 1 - Stopping Sight Distances Based on Design Speed

| Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | Brake <br> Reaction <br> Distance <br> (ft.) | Brake <br> Distance <br> (ft.) | Stopping <br> Sight <br> Distance, <br> Design (ft.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 165.4 | 194.4 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 183.8 | 240.0 | 425 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 202.2 | 290.3 | 495 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 220.5 | 345.5 | 570 |

Condensed from AASHTO 2011 Edition of A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, Tables 3-1

The analysis design speeds used in the determination of stopping sight distances were defined by the approaching lowa Highway 92 posted speed limit plus five (5) mph . Based on the design speed, the required minimum stopping sight distance for vehicles on the lowa Highway 92 approaches is 495 feet. This distance is attainable in both eastbound and westbound directions along lowa Highway 92. Furthermore, the eastbound lowa Highway 92 approach at the study intersection is an approximately $3 \%$ upgrade and so would require a distance shorter than the values shown above.
The required stopping sight distances for vehicles on the lowa Highway 92 approaches are shown below in Exhibit 6.

Exhibit 6 - Required Stopping Sight Distance


## Intersection Sight Distance

Sight distance triangle measurements were constructed for the lowa Highway 92 approach. Specified areas along the approach to an intersection should be clear of obstructions that might block the driver's view of potential conflicting vehicles. These areas are known as clear sight triangles. The dimensions of the sight triangles depend on the design speeds of the intersecting roadways and type of traffic control used at the intersection.

Ideally, the vertical profiles of the intersecting roadways will allow for the recommended sight distance for drivers on the intersection approaches. It is also preferred that obstructions such as buildings, parked cars, roadside structures, hedges, trees, walls, and the terrain itself do not exist within the sight triangle.

Sight distance triangles for the study intersection were derived from Section 9.5, Intersection Sight Distance, in the 2011 Edition of A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). The vertex of the sight triangles along the minor road were located approximately 14.5 ft . back from the edge of the major-road (IA Highway 92) travel way. This position represents the typical position of the minor-road driver's eye location when a vehicle is stopped, based on AASHTO guidance.

The study intersection falls under Case B - Intersections with stop control on the minor road (Section 9.5.3 Intersection Control). Case B1 and B2 were analyzed to account for left turns and right turns from the minor road, respectively. Case B3, the crossing maneuver from the minor road, was analyzed using the same procedure as Case B2 based on AASHTO guidance. Table 2 shows the AASHTO calculated intersection sight distances required from a minor approach based on applicable lowa Highway 92 analysis design speeds and with time gap consideration for a typical two-lane undivided highway.

## Table 2 - Intersection Sight Distances Based on Design Speed

| Design Speed | Left Turn (Case B1) |  | Right Turn (Case B2) |  | Crossing (Case B3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Passenger Car (ft.) | Single Unit Truck (ft.) | Passenger | Single Unit Truck (ft.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passenger } \\ & \text { Car (ft.) } \end{aligned}$ | Single Unit Truck (ft.) |
| 45 | 500 | 630 | 430 | 565 | 430 | 565 |
| 50 | 555 | 700 | 480 | 625 | 480 | 625 |
| 55 | 610 | 770 | 530 | 690 | 530 | 690 |
| 60 | 665 | 840 | 575 | 750 | 575 | 750 |
| Time Gap | 7.5 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 8.5 |

Condensed from AASHTO 2011 Edition of A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets Tables 9-6 through 9-8 and Equation 9-1.

The analysis design speeds used in the determination of sight distances were defined by the approaching lowa Highway 92 posted speed limit plus five (5) mph. If a speed transition occurs within a specific sight triangle, the highest posted speed limit plus five mph was utilized.

Table 3 summarizes the available study intersection sight distances for the minor road left, crossing, and right turns onto lowa Highway 92 as well as the adjusted intersection sight distances based on the lane configuration of the study intersection by considering additional time gaps requirements for multilane divided highways.

Table 3 - Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave. Intersection Sight Distances

| Minor Road Intersection and Orientation | Turn from Minor Approach | Approach Design Speed Used (mph) | Intersection Sight Distance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Passenger Cars <br> (ft.) |  |  | Single Unit Trucks <br> (ft.) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Time Gap (sec) | Available | Required | Time Gap (sec) | Available | Required |
| Virginia Hills Road Northbound | Left | 55 | 9 | 1000 | 730 | 11.6 | 1000 | 940 |
|  | Crossing | 55 | 8.5 | 1000 | 690 | 11.3 | 1000 | 915 |
|  | Right | 55 | 6.5 | 840 | 530 | 8.5 | 840 | 690 |
| Cypress Avenue Southbound | Left | 55 | 8.5 | 730 | 690 | 10.9 | 730 | 885 |
|  | Crossing | 55 | 8.5 | 730 | 690 | 11.3 | 730 | 915 |
|  | Right | 55 | 6.5 | 1000 | 530 | 8.5 | 1000 | 690 |

With Cypress Avenue often being used for emergency vehicles from the Lewis Township Fire Department, departure sight triangles were reviewed for both passenger cars and single unit trucks. Departure sight triangles should provide sufficient sight distance for a stopped vehicle on Cypress Avenue to depart from the intersection and enter lowa Highway 92. The required sight distance triangles for left and right turning vehicles from both minor road approaches are shown below in Exhibit 7 and Exhibit 8.

As can be seen from Table 3, a required sight distance of 885 and 915 feet is necessary along lowa Highway 92 for a stopped single unit truck along Cypress Avenue to be able to view down lowa Highway 92 to safely turn left or cross the highway, respectively. However, it was determined that the existing available sight distances are insufficient for both southbound left-turn and crossing movements for the lowa Highway 92 design speed. The insufficient sight distances result from the vertical curve to the west of the intersection along lowa Highway 92.

Exhibit 7 - Required Sight Distance Triangles for SB Vehicles


Exhibit 8 - Required Sight Distance Triangles for NB Vehicles


## GAP STUDY REVIEW

Vehicle gaps are time measurements of the available headway between consecutive vehicles in a traffic stream. The size of available gaps in a traffic stream depends on a number of factors, including: the traffic volumes, traffic speed on the major approach, roadway approach grade, and number of lanes. At uncontrolled intersections, each driver on the minor street, or controlled leg of the intersection, must determine whether conflicting vehicles on the major street provide an adequate gap before attempting to enter or cross the intersection. This is termed gap acceptance and the minimum gap that drivers on a minor leg of an intersection will utilize to enter an intersection is defined as the critical gap. Gap studies can provide valuable information about the potential safety of intersection entering and crossing movements.
Minimum time gap values are provided in Chapter 6D-1 of the lowa DOT Design Manual. Time gap values represented in the lowa DOT document reflect the gaps accepted by a stopped driver on the minor leg to accelerate and complete an entering or turning movement into traffic. The accepted gap values are different for left turn and right turn/crossing movements and are dependent on the design vehicle. These values are based on AASHTO design criteria for two-lane roadways with no median and approach grades of 3 percent or less. Adjustments to the time gaps must be made to account for non-standard conditions. These adjustments include adding 0.5 seconds for automobiles and 0.7 seconds for trucks for each additional lane crossed while completing a movement and adding 0.2 seconds for approach grades greater than 3 percent.
Table 4 below contains the standard IA DOT time gaps for turning movements.

Table 4 - Acceptable Time Gaps for Two-Lane Roadway

| Design Vehicle | Minimum Gap Acceptance Time (sec) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right Turn | Crossing |  |
| Passenger Car | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Single-Unit Truck | 9.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Combination <br> Truck | 11.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |

Table reproduced from the lowa DOT Design Manual.
A gap study was conducted at the study intersection of IA 92 \& Cypress Avenue on April 5, 2018 to determine the available gaps during the PM peak hour time period, when peak traffic volumes were present. The gap data collected in the field was compared to the time gap values provided in the lowa DOT Design Manual with adjustments for the intersection geometry at lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue. The gap study data is provided in Appendix D.
A comparison of the available ( $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile) gaps to the required gaps for an emergency vehicle using the southbound Cypress Avenue approach is provided below in Table 5.

Table 5 - Available ( $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile) Time Gaps at IA 92 \& Cypress Ave

| Minor Road <br> Intersection and <br> Orientation | Design <br> Vehicle | Turn from <br> Minor | Time Gap (sec) <br> Approach |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Required

It can be seen that the southbound approach at the intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue requires a time gap of 10.9 seconds for the design vehicle to complete a left turn movement, 11.3 seconds to complete a crossing movement, and 8.5 seconds to complete a right turn movement. A comparison to the available gaps shows that the study intersection does not provide adequate gaps for the design vehicle to complete left turns or crossing movements. While it is sometimes possible to complete a left turn or crossing maneuver in stages on a multi-lane roadway, the existing roadway cross section and median width do not allow this at the study intersection.

## SIGN PLACEMENT AND SPACING REVIEW

Additional observations recognized the presence of numerous regulatory, guide and warning signs in the vicinity of the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection. The location and spacing of the existing signs were reviewed to determine conformity to current design standards set by the MUTCD and documented in Chapter 2 of the lowa DOT Traffic and Safety Manual.

The Iowa DOT Traffic and Safety Manual normally recommends a minimum distance of 800 feet for longitudinal sign spacing of signs on a four-lane divided roadway in rural locations. However, due to the increasingly urban characteristics of this corridor, reduced minimal longitudinal sign spacing of 3 to 5 times the posted speed limit would
be accepted. The acceptable minimal longitudinal sign spacing for the posted speed within this study area would be 150 to 250 feet.

Upon review it was determined that the distance between the eastbound Frontage Road Guide Sign (D3 Series) and the Emergency Vehicle Warning Sign (W11-8) measures approximately 393 feet. The distance between the eastbound Emergency Vehicle Warning Sign (W11-8) and the study intersection approach (approximated eastbound stop bar) is approximately 550 feet, which fulfills the minimum requirements of the lowa DOT design standards for sign placement of 375 feet in advance of the intersection based on the posted speed limit ( 50 mph for the study intersection). These signs all conform to the current longitudinal spacing standards.

There are no posted signs in the westbound direction at the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection with the exception of a Do Not Enter (R5-1) sign mounted to face eastbound traffic.

Exhibit 9 shows the current locations of those signs along lowa Highway 92.
Exhibit 9 - Existing Sign Locations along lowa Highway 92


## SPEED STUDY REVIEW

The lowa DOT conducted a speed study on Iowa Highway 92 in Council Bluffs in 2011 at ten data collection locations along the corridor. The speed data collection locations and all posted speed limits within the study area are shown in Exhibit 10. The posted speed limit is 50 mph on lowa Highway 92 through the study area.
A data collection map and output detail sheets for all speed data collection locations are provided in Appendix E.

## Exhibit 10 - Speed Study Locations and Posted Speed Limit Overview



The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, the speed at which 85 percent of free-flowing traffic is traveling at or below, was identified from the field measured speeds. Measured $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds at the two locations within the study area were all found to be above the posted speed limit. The results are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6 - Speed Study Results Summary

| Location | Site No. | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Percentile } \\ & \text { Speed } \end{aligned}$ | Posted Speed Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 ft . East of Valley View Dr. | C7 | 55 | 50 |
| 600 ft . East of Cypress Ave. | C8 | 56 | 50 |
| 200 ft . East of Summerset Ave. | C9 | 59 | 50 |
| 450 ft . East of Concord Loop | C10 | 60 | 55 |

## INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

## Traffic Signal Warrant Evaluation

Traffic signal warrant criteria were evaluated at the intersections of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue according to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), 2009 Edition. Traffic data utilized for the analysis included the 2017 intersection turning movement counts collected by Pottawattamie County.

The analysis indicated that a traffic signal does not currently satisfy any of the nine MUTCD warrants at the intersection. The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic signal.

Table 7 below summarizes the results of the traffic signal warrant evaluation.
Table 7 - MUTCD Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

|  |  <br> Cypress Ave. <br> Satisfied? |
| :--- | :---: |
| Traffic Signal Warrant | NO |
| Warrant 1 (Eight Hour Vehicular Volume) | NO |
| Warrant 3 (Pour Hour Vehicular Volume) | NO |
| Warrant 4 (Pedestrian Volume) | NO |
| Warrant 5 (School Crossing) | NO |
| Warrant 6 (Coordinated Signal System) | NO |
| Warrant 7 (Crash Experience) | NO |
| Warrant 8 (Roadway Network) | NO |
| Warrant 9 (Intersection near RR Crossing | NO |

Additional details of the traffic signal warrant evaluation can be found within Appendix F. Specific warrant notes include:

- Warrant 1 (Eight Hour Vehicle): Warrant 1 volume criteria establish a minimum required volume over eight hours within a 24-hour period. The 2017 turning movement counts provided by Pottawattamie County include eight hours of traffic data. The data of the collected hours does not satisfy the eight hours required to satisfy Warrant 1 volume criteria for either Condition A or Condition B. Due to the study intersection having a posted speed limit larger than 40 mph on the major road, the 70 percent factor of required traffic levels was used for both intersections.
- Warrant 2 (Four Hour Vehicle): Warrant 2 volume criteria establish a minimum required volume over four hours within a 24 -hour period. 2017 turning movement counts provided by Pottawattamie include eight hours of traffic data. The data of the collected hours confirms that traffic volumes do not satisfy Warrant 2 volume criteria. Due to the study intersection having a posted speed limit larger than 40 mph on the major road, the 70 percent factor of required traffic levels was used for both intersections.
- Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Vehicle): The 2017 turning movement counts did not satisfy Warrant 3 , under condition B during the peak hour. Due to the study intersection having a posted speed limit larger than 40 mph on the major road, the 70 percent factor of required traffic levels was used.
- Warrant 4 (Pedestrian Volume): Based on traffic volumes along this corridor, Warrant 4 would require at least 75 pedestrians per hour for four different hours or greater than 93 pedestrians in a peak hour. Pedestrian volume counts do not satisfy Warrant 4 volume criteria.
- Warrant 5 (School Crossing): Warrant 5 would require at least 20 schoolchildren per hour during the highest crossing hour. Pedestrian volumes counts do not satisfy Warrant 5 volume criteria.
- Warrant 6 (Coordinated Signal System): The study intersection along the lowa Highway 92 corridor is not within a coordinated signal system.
- Warrant 7 (Crash Experience): Five or more reported crashes, susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal, must have occurred at the intersection within a twelve month period to satisfy Warrant 7. The most recent 2016 crash data confirm that the number of reported crashes (0 crashes) does not satisfy Warrant 7 crash number criteria.
- Warrant 8 (Roadway Network): Roadway network requirements do not necessitate a traffic signal at this intersection.
- Warrant 9 (Intersection near a Grade Crossing): The lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection is not located adjacent to a railroad grade crossing.
At this time, a traffic signal at the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection does not satisfy any of the nine MUTCD traffic signal warrants. As shown in the warrant analysis, the traffic volumes do not show a need based on the 8-hour or 4-hour volume warrants. The crash history does not indicate an existing safety issue at the intersection that would be susceptible to correction by a traffic control device, as there have been 0 reported crashes in 2016 at this intersection.


## Capacity Analysis - Existing Condition

Level of service (LOS) at intersections is primarily a function of peak hour turning movement volumes, intersection lane configuration, and traffic control. For intersection analysis, the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) defines LOS in terms of the average control delay at the intersection in seconds per vehicle. The results of an HCM analysis are typically presented in the form of a letter grade (A-F) that provides a qualitative estimate of the operational efficiency or effectiveness of the corridor. Much like an academic report card, LOS A represents the best range of operating conditions (i.e., motorists experiencing little delay or congestion) and LOS F represents the worst (i.e., extreme delay or severe congestion).

Table 8 defines the control delay range corresponding to each LOS for signalized intersection locations. LOS E is considered to be at capacity and, typically, LOS D is considered acceptable operations in urban environments.

Table 9 defines the control delay range corresponding to each LOS for un-signalized intersection locations. For un-signalized intersections, the worst-case stop-controlled LOS is reported. For instance, if an intersection experienced LOS D on one approach and LOS B on another, the LOS D would be reported for the intersection.

Table 8 - Level of Service vs. Control Delay (Signalized Intersections)

| Level Of <br> Service | Delay / Vehicle <br> (s) $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

Table 9 - Level of Service vs. Control Delay (Un-signalized Intersections)

| Level Of <br> Service | Delay/Vehicle <br> (s) |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Traffic models for the study area were created using Synchro 10 software. The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 6) reporting function of Synchro was used to obtain the average delay and corresponding Level-of-Service for each intersection movement. Further information for each analysis condition is contained below. Intersection reports from the Synchro software are available in Appendix G.
The results of the current intersection capacity analysis are documented in Table 10.
Table 10 - Existing Condition Capacity Analysis

| Peak Hour | Measure of Effectiveness | EB | WB | NB | SB | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave. (TWSC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | Delay (sec) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 19.4 | 16.5 | 19.4 |
|  | Level of Service | A | A | C | C | C |
| MID | Delay (sec) | 0.9 | 0.4 | 14.4 | 10.7 | 14.4 |
|  | Level of Service | A | A | B | B | B |
| PM | Delay (sec) | 0.5 | 0.1 | 30.2 | 22 | 30.2 |
|  | Level of Service | A | A | D | C | D |

Per the capacity analysis results of the study intersection, the Stop controlled minor road approaches were identified to operate at LOS C, B and D for AM, Midday and PM peak hours, respectively. The Level-of-Service for two-way stop control intersections are defined by the highest approach delay experienced on either of the controlled legs. At the intersection of IA 92 \& Cypress Ave, the northbound approach consistently experiences the highest delay. LOS D is generally considered an acceptable operational metric in urban highway applications, while LOS B is generally considered an acceptable operational metric in rural highway applications.

## CONSIDERED OPTIONS

The following section explores options that were considered and may be of interest for improving the safety and operational efficiency along the lowa Highway 92 corridor. The proceeding mentioned options are not recommendations, but rather items that may have associated benefits as well as potential disadvantages. The considered options below are arranged in no particular order. Final recommendations for the study intersection can be found within the Recommended Improvements section of this report.

## Emergency-Vehicle Warning Sign with Activated Flashing Beacon

The MUTCD, Section 2A.15, outlines the options to enhance conspicuity of standard regulatory, warning and guide signs. To increase the awareness and emphasis of these signs, provisions allow for the installation of a flashing beacon system. A flashing beacon system can provide traffic control when used as an intersection control beacon or it can provide warning when used in other applications.

Within the MUTCD, Chapter 4L contains the standards and guidance of flashing beacon systems. A Warning Beacon consists of one or more signal sections with a flashing circular yellow signal indication in each signal face. These can be used in conjunction with a regulatory or warning sign that includes the phrase 'When Flashing' in its legend to indicate that the regulation is in effect or that condition is present at certain times.

The intersection of Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue could be a candidate for potential installation of an emergency vehicle warning system. The installation would include a flashing beacon located on each of the Emergency Vehicle Warning Signs (W11-8) with additional When Flashing (W16-13P) plaques for eastbound and westbound approaches along lowa Highway 92. The activation of the device can be accomplished through a variety of means including a pushbutton within the firehouse or handheld wireless transmitters.
Exhibit 11 provides appropriate locations for warning signs with activated flashing beacons along lowa Highway 92.

Exhibit 11 - Activated Flashing Warning Beacon System


It should be noted that the installation and maintenance of any traffic control device on roadways under the jurisdiction of the lowa DOT is the responsibility of the local agency and must be approved by the lowa DOT prior to being put into operation.

## Emergency-Vehicle Traffic Control Signals

An emergency-vehicle traffic control signal is a special traffic control signal that assigns the right-of-way to an authorized emergency vehicle. The installation of an emergencyvehicle traffic control signal may be considered at locations that do not meet other traffic signal warrants but may be deemed necessary to permit direct access onto a roadway from a building housing the emergency vehicle.

An emergency vehicle hybrid beacon was considered for this intersection but MUTCD guidance limits the placement of hybrid beacons to locations beyond 100 feet from an intersection or driveway where the cross roads are controlled by stop or yield signs.

According to Chapter 4G of the MUTCD, an emergency-vehicle traffic control signal may be considered if a traffic signal is not justified by any of the nine warrants specified in Chapter 4C of the MUTCD, and if gaps in traffic are not adequate to allow emergency vehicles to access the major street timely and safely, or if the stopping sight distance for vehicles on the major street is insufficient for emergency vehicles.

The results of the sight distance review of the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection indicated that the available stopping sight distances are adequate for both eastbound and westbound lowa Highway 92 approaches. However, the intersection sight distances are insufficient for both southbound left-turn and crossing movements of single-unit trucks. Additionally, the results of the gap study of the lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue intersection indicated that the available ( $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile) gaps provided for southbound movements during the PM peak hour were not adequate for a single-unit truck to complete a left-turn or crossing maneuver. These are critical movements due to the large proportion of Lewis Township Fire Department calls that require access to lowa Highway 92 and necessitate a left-turn at this intersection.
Thus, consideration can be given to the installation of an emergency-vehicle traffic control signal at the intersection. If installed, the traffic signal can be operated with a semi-actuated control type to accommodate normal vehicular and pedestrian traffic on the streets. According to the Lewis Township Fire Department, approximately 70 percent of the emergency response vehicles are currently outfitted with an emergency vehicle preemption device.
For additional emphasis of the emergency-vehicle traffic control signal, if implemented, it is recommended that a Be Prepared to Stop (W3-4) sign with a supplemental When Flashing (W16-13P) plaque and advanced warning flasher be installed in advance of the traffic signal on the east and west approaches to the intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue. The purpose of a Be Prepared to Stop When Flashing assembly is to forewarn a driver when a traffic signal located at a high-speed signalized intersection is about to initiate a change to yellow and then the red phase. The warning beacon is interconnected with the traffic signal in such a way that prior to the eastbound/westbound movement phase changing from green to yellow, the flasher is turned on to warn approaching drivers of the impending change.
In addition, whenever an emergency-vehicle traffic control signal is provided an Emergency Vehicle (W11-8) sign with a supplemental Emergency Signal Ahead (W1112P) plaque should be installed on each approach to the intersection of lowa Highway

92 \& Cypress Avenue upstream of the Be Prepared to Stop assembly previously mentioned.

Exhibit 12 shows the locations of the recommended warning signs along lowa Highway 92 near the Cypress Avenue intersection.

Exhibit 12 - Advanced Warning Sign Locations along lowa Highway 92


To evaluate the effect of signalizing the study intersection, capacity analysis has been conducted in Synchro 10 based upon the 2017 traffic count collected by Pottawattamie County. The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 6) reporting function of Synchro was used to obtain the average delay and corresponding Level-of-Service for each intersection movement. Intersection reports from the Synchro software are available in Appendix G.
The results of the signalized intersection capacity analysis are documented in Table 11.
Table 11 - Concept (Signalized) Condition Capacity Analysis

| Peak Hour | Measure of Effectiveness | EB | WB | NB | SB | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave. (Signalized) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | Delay (sec) | 4.0 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 5.1 |
|  | Level of Service | A | A | A | A | A |
| MID | Delay (sec) | 4.1 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 4.5 |
|  | Level of Service | A | A | A | A | A |
| PM | Delay (sec) | 4.4 | 3.8 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 4.7 |
|  | Level of Service | A | A | A | A | A |

From the capacity analysis results of the study intersection, the traffic control signal condition was identified to operate at LOS A for AM, Midday and PM peak hours,
respectively. LOS D is generally considered an acceptable operational metric in urban highway applications, while LOS B is generally considered an acceptable operational metric in rural highway applications.

It should be noted that the installation and maintenance of any traffic control device on roadways under the jurisdiction of the lowa DOT is the responsibility of the local agency and must be approved by the lowa DOT prior to being put into operation.

## Pavement Marking Improvement

Pavement markings guide road users while promoting safe and orderly movement within a highway system. Pavement markings are generally classified as longitudinal or transverse. Longitudinal markings run parallel to the roadway and guide the movement of vehicles by defining the safe limits of travel (i.e., centerline striping, edge line striping, lane lines, etc.). Transverse markings generally run perpendicular to the lanes of travel and can be words, arrows, symbols, or limit lines that are used to communicate lane usage, or approach warnings (i.e., turn lane arrows, crosswalks, stop lines, PED X-ING, STOP AHEAD, BIKE LANE, etc.).

The application and maintenance of longitudinal pavement markings on all primary roadways are the responsibility of the lowa DOT following standards outlined in Section 3B of the Iowa DOT Traffic and Safety Manual. The County is responsible for pavement markings on secondary roadways at intersections with primary highways. Exposure to environmental conditions and traffic as well as normal aging will cause pavement markings to deteriorate and fade over time. It is recommended that the Pottawattamie County assure that the pavement markings are part of the County pavement marking painting program.

It has been identified in the study area that lane designation markings for the dedicated eastbound right-turn lane are missing. Additional observations note that pavement markings on both northbound and southbound approaches appear faded and the visibility of existing stop bars is poor. To eliminate any possible confusion for motorists, it is recommended that appropriate pavement markings be added or refreshed to enhance conspicuity of these travel lanes.
Furthermore, if traffic signals are installed at the study intersection, pavement markings need to be reviewed accordingly.

## RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

Below is a list of recommendations that should be considered in the short term and longer term. Short term recommendations are those that should be able to be implemented fairly quickly and inexpensively. Long term recommendations are those that may require additional prior consideration and planning as well as procurement of funding. The implementation of the short term recommendations may alleviate the concern to the extent that the long term recommendation becomes unnecessary.

The following recommendations are anticipated to improve the overall safety of vehicles and pedestrians. Refer to the Considered Options section of the report for more detail.

## Short Term Recommendations

- Refresh/replace pavement markings and stop bar markings
- Consider the addition of lane designation arrow pavement markings to the eastbound lowa Highway 92 right turn lane.
- Install emergency vehicle warning signs with activated flashing beacons


## Long Term Recommendations

- Review traffic conditions and consider installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of lowa Highway 92 \& Cypress Avenue
- Semi-actuated detection with emergency vehicle pre-emption and major road dilemma zone detection
- Install Advanced Warning Assemblies with activated beacons in both eastbound and westbound lowa Highway 92 approach directions


## PLANNING LEVEL OPINION OF PROBABLE COSTS

An order of magnitude opinion of probable cost for the short and long term recommendations presented above is included below.

|  | Cost Estimate | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHORT TERM: |  |  |
| Stop Bar Pavement Markings (Per Approach) | \$200-\$300 | Construction costs only |
| Linear Pavement Markings (Per Linear Foot) | \$0.50 | Construction costs only |
| Remove/Relocate Existing Signing (Per Sign) | \$200-\$300 | Construction costs only |
| Emergency-Vehicle Warning Sign w/ Flashing Beacons (System) | \$15,000-\$30,000 | Materials/construction costs |
| LONG TERM: |  |  |
| Install Advanced Warning Assembly for Traffic Signal | \$7,500-\$15,000 | Further Study Needed |
| Traffic Signal Installation | \$200k - \$300k | Further Study Needed |
| NOTES: <br> * This opinion represents approximate construction quantities on pay items. The opinion is to be used as a planning number only. prepared. <br> * Cost do not include any permanent right-of-way and temporary <br> * Costs represent current dollars as of report date. | and does not pro ctual costs may va <br> onstruction easem | vided a detailed list of expected project $y$, as detailed design plans are <br> ent costs. |

## POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES

Many funding sources may be available while pursuing funding for elements of the project recommendations.

## C-STEP

Funding assistance may be available through the lowa DOT County-State Traffic Engineering Program (C-STEP). C-STEP funding is used to solve traffic operation and safety problems on primary roads outside incorporated cities. The County match for CSTEP funding is $40 \%$ to $70 \%$ pending type of improvement and the County must engineer and administer the project. An engineering analysis of the problem area is required, and this TEAP study satisfies that requirement. Maximum funding is $\$ 200,000$ for spot improvements and $\$ 150,000$ per mile for linear improvements. C-STEP program funding may be applicable for the study intersection recommendations. Funding request letters may be submitted to the District Engineer at any time throughout the year.

TSIP
Funding for traffic safety improvements on public roads under county, city or state jurisdiction may be available through the lowa DOT Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP). The application deadline for TSIP funding is August $15^{\text {th }}$ of each year. The crash history for the study corridor showed a lower rate than the statewide average, which would likely make it difficult for the corridor to compete for site specific funding due to crash history. However, the traffic control devices category for funding includes the "purchase of materials for installation of new traffic control devices such as signs or signals, or replacement of obsolete signs or signals". TSIP funding may be applicable for the installation of a traffic signal or corridor signage. Site-specific funding cannot exceed $\$ 500,000$ per project. Application forms are available from the lowa DOT, and application is made to the lowa DOT Office of Traffic and Safety.
Further information on potential lowa DOT funding sources is available on the lowa DOT website at http://www.iowadot.gov/fundguid.htm, Information sheets on each of the lowa DOT programs mentioned are provided in Appendix $\mathbf{H}$ of this report.

## Appendix A - Iowa DOT SAVER Crash Reports

| Crash Incidence Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Possible/Unknown | 1 |
| Property Damage Only | 4 |
|  | 5 |


| Property | Total: | $21,200.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Damage | Average: | $4,240.00$ |


| Injury Status Summary <br> Possible (complaint of pain/injury) <br> Uninjured  <br>   <br>  1 <br>   <br> Average Fatalites/Fatal Crash <br> Severity Fatalities/Crash <br>  Injuries/Crash |
| :--- |

[^1]| Manner of Crash/Collision Impact |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| Broadside (front to side) | 1 |
| Not reported | 4 |
|  | 5 |


| Surface Condition Summary |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Wet | 1 |
| Not reported | 4 |
|  | 5 |

Major Cause Summary
4 Animal
1 FTYROW: Making left turn

| Crash Time of Day Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00:00 | 02:00 | 04:00 | 06:00 | 08:00 | 10:00 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 13: 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 00 \\ & 15: 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 00 \\ & 17: 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 00 \\ & 19: 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 00 \\ & 21: 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 00 \\ & 23: 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | \% |
|  | 01:59 | 03:59 | 05:5.9 | 07:59 | 09:59 | 11:59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Wednesday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 60.00 |
| Saturday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20.00 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 |  |
|  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 |  |  |

Quick Report

| Crash Severity by Year <br> Major <br> Injury |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Fatal | Minor <br> Injury | Poss <br> Injury | PDO | Totals |  |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |



| Injury Status - Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatal | Incapac. | NonIncapac. | Poss | Unk | Total |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |



| Crash Detail Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013742308 06/01/2013 12:20 <br> County: Pottawattamie City: Not applicable | HIGHWAY 92 \& CYPRESS AVENUE |  |
| Major Cause: FTYROW: Making left turn <br> Roadway Type: Intersection: Four-way intersection |  |  |
| Severity:: Possible/Unknown Manner of Crash: Broadside (front to side) |  |  |
| Major Injuries: 0 | Light Conditions: Daylight |  |
| Minor Injuries: 0 We | Weather Conditions: Rain |  |
| Possible Injuries: 1 D | Drug/Alc Involved: None Indicated |  |
| Severity:: Possible/Unknown P | Property Damage: \$13,200 | Number of Vehicles: 2 |
| Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit |
| Init Trav Dir: North | East |  |
| Veh Action: Turning left | Movement essentially straight |  |
| Configuration: Passenger car | Sport utility vehicle |  |
| Driver Age: 91 | 56 |  |
| Driver Gender: M |  |  |
| Driver Cond: Apparently normal | Apparently normal |  |
| Driver Contr 1: FTYROW: Making left turn | No improper action |  |
| Driver Contr 2: Not reported | Not reported |  |
| Fixed Object: None (no fixed object struck) | Traffic sign support |  |
| 2014830849 11/26/2014 17:25 | HIGHLAND PARK DR AND STA | E 92/IOWA 92 |
| County: Pottawattamie City: Not applicable |  |  |
| Major Cause: Animal <br> Roadway Type: Not reported |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Severity:: Property Damage Only Manner of Crash: Not reported |  |  |
| Fatalities: 0 S | Surface Conditions: Not reported |  |
| Major Injuries: 0 | Light Conditions: Not reported |  |
| Minor Injuries: 0 We | Weather Conditions: Not reported |  |
| Possible Injuries: 0 D | Drug/Alc Involved: None Indicated |  |
| Severity:: Property Damage Only | Property Damage: $\$ 2,000$ | Number of Vehicles: 1 |
| Unit 1 | Unit | Unit |
| Init Trav Dir: East |  |  |
| Veh Action: Not reported |  |  |
| Configuration: Passenger car |  |  |
| Driver Age:53 |  |  |
| Driver Gender: M |  |  |
| Driver Cond: Not reported |  |  |
| Driver Contr 1: No improper action |  |  |
| Driver Contr 2: Not reported |  |  |
| Fixed Object: None (no fixed object struck) |  |  |

## Crash Detail Report



Major Cause: Animal
Roadway Type: Not reported
Severity:: Property Damage Only Manner of Crash: Not reported
Fatalities: 0
Major Injuries: 0
Surface Conditions: Not reported
Light Conditions: Not reported
Minor Injuries: 0
Weather Conditions: Not reported
Possible Injuries: 0
Severity:: Property Damage Only
Drug/AIc Involved: None Indicated
Property Damage: \$1,500
Number of Vehicles: 1

| Unit 1 | Unit | Unit |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Init Trav Dir: | West |  |  |
| Veh Action: | Not reported |  |  |
| Configuration: | Passenger car |  |  |
| Driver Age: | 68 |  |  |
| Driver Gender: | M |  |  |
| Driver Cond: | Not reported |  |  |
| Driver Contr 1: | No improper action |  |  |
| Driver Contr 2: | Not reported |  |  |
| Fixed Object: | None (no fixed object struck) |  |  |

## Crash Detail Report

| 2015863971 $06 / 14 / 2015$ 22:48 <br> County: Pottawattamie City: Not applicable | 20000 BLOCK HWY 92 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Cause: Animal <br> Roadway Type: Not reported |  |  |
| Severity:: Property Damage Only Manner of Crash: Not reported |  |  |
| Fatalities: 0 Surface Conditions: Not reported |  |  |
| Major Injuries: 0 Light Conditions: Not reported |  |  |
| Minor Injuries: 0 Wea | Weather Conditions: Not reported |  |
| Possible Injuries: 0 D | Drug/Alc Involved: None Indicated |  |
| Severity:: Property Damage Only P | Property Damage: \$2,500 | Number of Vehicles: 1 |
| Unit 1 Unit ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |
| Init Trav Dir: West |  |  |
| Configuration: Passenger car |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Driver Age:28 |  |  |
| Driver Gender: F |  |  |
| Driver Cond: Not reported |  |  |
| Driver Contr 1: No improper action |  |  |
| Driver Contr 2: Not reported |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Appendix B - Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) Count Data
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Appendix C - Pottawattamie County Collected Turning Movement Count Data
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Appendix D - Gap Study

File Name: C:\Program Files (x86)\JAMAR\PetraPro\Data Files\Gap Study 04052018\IA92 and Cypress Ave Gap Study.ppd Start Date: 4/5/2018
Start Time: 4:45:00 PM
Site Code: 00000000
Comment 1: Westbound

| Start Time | Volume | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6-7 | 8-9 | 10-11 | 12-13 | 14-15 | 16-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-23 | 24-25 | 26-27 | 28-29 | >29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 15 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 18 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL |  | 62 | 57 | 41 | 35 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| PERCENTAGE |  | 20.3\% | 18.7\% | 13.4\% | 11.5\% | 8.2\% | 4.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.6\% | 4.3\% | 3.6\% | 2.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% |
| CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY |  | 62 | 119 | 160 | 195 | 220 | 235 | 245 | 256 | 269 | 280 | 288 | 291 | 293 | 296 | 305 |
| PERCENTILE | 85th | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50th | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

File Name: C:\Program Files (x86)\JAMAR\PetraPro\Data Files\Gap Study 04052018\IA92 and Cypress Ave Gap Study.ppd
Start Date: 4/5/2018
Start Time: 4:45:00 PM
Site Code: 00000000

| Start Time | Volume | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6-7 | 8-9 | 10-11 | 12-13 | 14-15 | 16-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-23 | 24-25 | 26-27 | 28-29 | $>29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 26 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 30 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 29 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 22 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| TOTAL |  | 107 | 50 | 24 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 17 |
| PERCENTAGE |  | 37.5\% | 17.5\% | 8.4\% | 7.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 4.6\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 1.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% |
| CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY |  | 107 | 157 | 181 | 201 | 208 | 219 | 232 | 240 | 251 | 254 | 260 | 264 | 267 | 268 | 285 |
| PERCENTILE | 85th | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50th | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



File Name: C:\Program Files (x86)\JAMAR\PetraPro\Data Files\Gap Study $04052018 \backslash I A 92$ and Cypress Ave Gap Study.ppd Start Date: 4/5/2018
Start Time: 4:45:00 PM
Site Code: 00000000

| Start Time | Volume | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6-7 | 8-9 | 10-11 | 12-13 | 14-15 | 16-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-23 | 24-25 | 26-27 | 28-29 | >29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 35 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 49 | 17 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 43 | 22 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 43 | 26 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  | 170 | 86 | 47 | 37 | 18 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| PERCENTAGE |  | 43.4\% | 21.9\% | 12.0\% | 9.4\% | 4.6\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY |  | 170 | 256 | 303 | 340 | 358 | 363 | 371 | 376 | 382 | 384 | 390 | 390 | 391 | 391 | 392 |
| PERCENTILE | 85th | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50th | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Appendix E - IA 92 Speed Study



```
SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96
```



Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/22/11 Through 08/22/11
Time Range : 11:16:00A Through 12:30:00P
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | 31 | 15th Percentile : 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Recorded Speed | 69 | 50th Percentile : 48 |
| Average Speed | 48.2 | 85th Percentile : 53 |
| Vehicles Observed | 398 | 95th Percentile : 56 |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | : 44 Through 53 |  |
| Percent In Pace Speed | : 73.1 |  |
| Percent Under Pace Speed | : 16.1 |  |
| Percent Over Pace Speed | : 10.8 |  |


| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 7 | 1.8 | 95.2 |
| 31 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 57 | 7 | 1.8 | 97.0 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 58 | 4 | 1.0 | 98.0 |
| 33 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 59 | 3 | 0.8 | 98.7 |
| 34 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 60 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.0 |
| 35 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 61 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.0 |
| 36 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 62 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.2 |
| 37 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 63 | 2 | 0.5 | 99.7 |
| 38 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 64 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 39 | 7 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 65 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 40 | 6 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 41 | 7 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 67 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 42 | 14 | 3.5 | 10.8 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 43 | 21 | 5.3 | 16.1 | 69 | 1 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 18 | 4.5 | 20.6 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 28 | 7.0 | 27.6 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 30 | 7.5 | 35.2 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 32 | 8.0 | 43.2 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 36 | 9.0 | 52.3 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 35 | 8.8 | 61.1 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 31 | 7.8 | 68.8 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 27 | 6.8 | 75.6 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 26 | 6.5 | 82.2 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 28 | 7.0 | 89.2 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 5 | 1.3 | 90.5 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55 | 12 | 3.0 | 93.5 |  |  |  |  |

SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96


Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/23/11 Through 08/23/11
Time Range : 07:01:00A Through 08:45:00A
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | $: 32$ | 15th Percentile $: 39$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Recorded Speed | $: 67$ | 50th Percentile : 44 |
| Average Speed | $: 44.4$ | 85th Percentile : 49 |
| Vehicles Observed | $: 399$ | 95 th Percentile : 52 |

10 MPH Pace Speed : 39 Through 48
Percent In Pace Speed : 73.4
Percent Under Pace Speed : 10.5
Percent Over Pace Speed : 16.0

| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 1 | 0.3 | 98.7 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.0 |
| 32 | 5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 58 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.2 |
| 33 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 59 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.5 |
| 34 | 5 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 60 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.5 |
| 35 | 3 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 61 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.5 |
| 36 | 4 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 62 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.5 |
| 37 | 6 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 63 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.5 |
| 38 | 17 | 4.3 | 10.5 | 64 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.7 |
| 39 | 20 | 5.0 | 15.5 | 65 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 40 | 17 | 4.3 | 19.8 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 41 | 20 | 5.0 | 24.8 | 67 | 1 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 30 | 7.5 | 32.3 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 36 | 9.0 | 41.4 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 38 | 9.5 | 50.9 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 29 | 7.3 | 58.1 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 41 | 10.3 | 68.4 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 31 | 7.8 | 76.2 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 31 | 7.8 | 84.0 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 12 | 3.0 | 87.0 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 16 | 4.0 | 91.0 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 8 | 2.0 | 93.0 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 12 | 3.0 | 96.0 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 6 | 1.5 | 97.5 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 2 | 0.5 | 98.0 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96

| Project ID | $:$ C3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street | $:$ IA 92 |  |  |
| Capture Zone | $: 400$ FT. E. OF I-80 N. ONRAMP |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Direction(s) | BOTH |  |  |
| Posted Speed Limit: 50 |  |  |  |
| Types of Vehicles : ALL |  |  |  |
| Weather Conditions: SUNNY 80S |  |  |  |

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/23/11 Through 08/23/11
Time Range : 09:22:00A Through 10:38:00A
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed $: 33$ | 15th Percentile : 42 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Recorded Speed $: 65$ | 50th Percentile : 46 |  |
| Average Speed | $: 46.5$ | 85th Percentile : 51 |
| Vehicles Observed | $: 400$ | 95th Percentile : 54 |
|  |  |  |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | $: 42$ Through 51 |  |


| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 5 | 1.3 | 98.3 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 2 | 0.5 | 98.8 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.0 |
| 33 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 59 | 2 | 0.5 | 99.5 |
| 34 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 60 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.8 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 61 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 36 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 62 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 37 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 63 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 38 | 4 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 64 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 39 | 8 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 65 | 1 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 40 | 9 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 14 | 3.5 | 10.8 | 67 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 25 | 6.3 | 17.0 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 27 | 6.8 | 23.8 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 38 | 9.5 | 33.3 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 38 | 9.5 | 42.8 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 34 | 8.5 | 51.3 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 38 | 9.5 | 60.8 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 33 | 8.3 | 69.0 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 26 | 6.5 | 75.5 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 33 | 8.3 | 83.8 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 16 | 4.0 | 87.8 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 16 | 4.0 | 91.8 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 8 | 2.0 | 93.8 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 8 | 2.0 | 95.8 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

```
SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96
Project ID
: C4
Street : IA 92
Capture Zone : 350 FT. E. OF HARRY LANGDON BLVD.
Direction(s) : BOTH FACE W.
Posted Speed Limit: 50
Types of Vehicles : ALL
Weather Conditions: SUNNY 80S
```

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/23/11 Through 08/23/11
Time Range : 12:41:00P Through 02:43:00P
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | $: 26$ | 15th Percentile : 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Recorded Speed | $: 67$ | 50 th Percentile : 42 |
| Average Speed | $: 41.3$ | 85 th Percentile : 48 |
| Vehicles Observed | $: 189$ | $95 t h$ Percentile : 51 |

10 MPH Pace Speed : 39 Through 48
Percent In Pace Speed : 63.5
Percent Under Pace Speed : 25.4
Percent Over Pace Speed : 11.1

| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 25 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51 | 2 | 1.1 | 95.8 |
| 26 | 2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 52 | 0 | 0.0 | 95.8 |
| 27 | 2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 53 | 3 | 1.6 | 97.4 |
| 28 | 5 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 54 | 1 | 0.5 | 97.9 |
| 29 | 7 | 3.7 | 8.5 | 55 | 0 | 0.0 | 97.9 |
| 30 | 2 | 1.1 | 9.5 | 56 | 1 | 0.5 | 98.4 |
| 31 | 5 | 2.6 | 12.2 | 57 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.4 |
| 32 | 6 | 3.2 | 15.3 | 58 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.4 |
| 33 | 5 | 2.6 | 18.0 | 59 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.4 |
| 34 | 3 | 1.6 | 19.6 | 60 | 1 | 0.5 | 98.9 |
| 35 | 2 | 1.1 | 20.6 | 61 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.9 |
| 36 | 3 | 1.6 | 22.2 | 62 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.9 |
| 37 | 6 | 3.2 | 25.4 | 63 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.9 |
| 38 | 0 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 64 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.9 |
| 39 | 14 | 7.4 | 32.8 | 65 | 1 | 0.5 | 99.5 |
| 40 | 13 | 6.9 | 39.7 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.5 |
| 41 | 13 | 6.9 | 46.6 | 67 | 1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 10 | 5.3 | 51.9 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 12 | 6.3 | 58.2 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 19 | 10.1 | 68.3 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 6 | 3.2 | 71.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 14 | 7.4 | 78.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 11 | 5.8 | 84.7 |  |  |  |  |

SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96

| Project ID | $:$ C5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street | $:$ IA 92 |
| Capture Zone | $: 800 \mathrm{FT} . \mathrm{W}$. |


| Direction(s) $\quad$ BOTH | FACE W. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Posted Speed Limit: 50 |  |
| Types of Vehicles : ALL |  |
| Weather Conditions: SUNNY 70S |  |

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/24/11 Through 08/24/11
Time Range : 06:52:00A Through 08:34:00A
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | 32 | 15th Percentile : 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Recorded Speed | 65 | 50th Percentile : 44 |
| Average Speed | 44.9 | 85th Percentile : 50 |
| Vehicles Observed | 404 | 95th Percentile : 53 |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | : 40 Through 49 |  |
| Percent In Pace Speed | : 77.0 |  |
| Percent Under Pace Speed | : 7.9 |  |
| Percent Over Pace Speed | : 15.1 |  |


| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 4 | 1.0 | 99.0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 2 | 0.5 | 99.5 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 58 | 1 | 0.2 | 99.8 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 59 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 34 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 60 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 35 | 3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 61 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 36 | 5 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 62 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 37 | 4 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 63 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 38 | 7 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 64 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 39 | 11 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 65 | 1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 40 | 28 | 6.9 | 14.9 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 36 | 8.9 | 23.8 | 67 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 33 | 8.2 | 31.9 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 37 | 9.2 | 41.1 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 36 | 8.9 | 50.0 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 29 | 7.2 | 57.2 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 34 | 8.4 | 65.6 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 29 | 7.2 | 72.8 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 20 | 5.0 | 77.7 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 29 | 7.2 | 84.9 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 17 | 4.2 | 89.1 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 17 | 4.2 | 93.3 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 6 | 1.5 | 94.8 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 6 | 1.5 | 96.3 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 7 | 1.7 | 98.0 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55 | 0 | 0.0 | 98.0 |  |  |  |  |

SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96

| Project ID | $:$ C6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street | $:$ IA 92 |
| Capture Zone | $: 300 \mathrm{FT} . \mathrm{W}$. |

```
Direction(s) : BOTH FACE E.
Posted Speed Limit: 50
Types of Vehicles : ALL
Weather Conditions: SUNNY 80S
```

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/24/11 Through 08/24/11
Time Range : 09:19:00A Through 11:21:00A
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | 32 | 15th Percentile : 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Recorded Speed | 64 | 50th Percentile : 47 |
| Average Speed | 46.7 | 85th Percentile : 52 |
| Vehicles Observed | 238 | 95th Percentile : 56 |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | : 41 Through 50 |  |
| Percent In Pace Speed | : 68.5 |  |
| Percent Under Pace Speed | : 10.5 |  |
| Percent Over Pace Speed | : 21.0 |  |


|  |  |  | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM | SPE | 56 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 7 | 2.9 | 97.1 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 58 | 1 | 1.3 | 98.3 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 59 | 0 | 0.4 | 98.7 |
| 34 | 2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 60 | 1 | 0.0 | 98.7 |
| 35 | 3 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 61 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.2 |
| 36 | 5 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 62 | 1 | 0.4 | 99.2 |
| 37 | 3 | 1.3 | 6.3 | 63 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.6 |
| 38 | 4 | 1.7 | 8.0 | 64 | 1 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 39 | 4 | 1.7 | 9.7 | 65 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 40 | 2 | 0.8 | 10.5 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 11 | 4.6 | 15.1 | 67 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 11 | 4.6 | 19.7 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 14 | 5.9 | 25.6 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 15 | 6.3 | 31.9 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 13 | 5.5 | 37.4 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 20 | 8.4 | 45.8 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 25 | 10.5 | 56.3 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 16 | 6.7 | 63.0 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 19 | 8.0 | 71.0 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 19 | 8.0 | 79.0 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 10 | 4.2 | 83.2 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 10 | 4.2 | 87.4 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 7 | 2.9 | 90.3 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 6 | 2.5 | 92.9 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96

| Project ID | $:$ C7 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Street | $:$ IA 92 |  |
| Capture Zone | : 1100 FT. E. OF VALLEY VIEW DR. |  |
|  |  |  |
| Direction(s) | BOTH |  |
| Posted Speed Limit: 50 |  |  |
| Types of Vehicles : ALL |  |  |
| Weather Conditions: SUNNY 80S |  |  |

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/24/11 Through 08/24/11
Time Range : 12:17:00P Through 01:30:00p
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | 30 | 15th Percentile : 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Recorded Speed | 67 | 50th Percentile : 51 |
| Average Speed | 50.4 | 85th Percentile : 55 |
| Vehicles Observed | 396 | 95th Percentile : 58 |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | : 46 Through 55 |  |
| Percent In Pace Speed | : 73.2 |  |
| Percent Under Pace Speed | : 14.9 |  |
| Percent Over Pace Speed | : 11.9 |  |


| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 56 | 8 | 2.0 | 90.2 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 57 | 15 | 3.8 | 93.9 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 58 | 10 | 2.5 | 96.5 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 59 | 5 | 1.3 | 97.7 |
| 34 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 60 | 2 | 0.5 | 98.2 |
| 35 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 61 | 2 | 0.5 | 98.7 |
| 36 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 62 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.0 |
| 37 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 63 | 2 | 0.5 | 99.5 |
| 38 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 64 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.7 |
| 39 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 65 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 40 | 6 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.7 |
| 41 | 9 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 67 | 1 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 3 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 4 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 14 | 3.5 | 10.4 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 18 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 22 | 5.6 | 20.5 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 27 | 6.8 | 27.3 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 28 | 7.1 | 34.3 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 24 | 6.1 | 40.4 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 28 | 7.1 | 47.5 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 33 | 8.3 | 55.8 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 44 | 11.1 | 66.9 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 33 | 8.3 | 75.3 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 24 | 6.1 | 81.3 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96

| Project ID | $:$ C8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street | $:$ IA 92 |
| Capture Zone | $: 600$ FT. E. OF CYPRESS DR. |

Direction(s) : BOTH
Posted Speed Limit: 50
Types of Vehicles : ALL
Weather Conditions: SUNNY 70

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/25/11 Through 08/25/11
Time Range : 09:07:00A Through 10:51:00A
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed | 35 | 15th Percentile : 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Recorded Speed | 62 | 50th Percentile : 51 |
| Average Speed | 50.9 | 85th Percentile : 56 |
| Vehicles Observed | 400 | 95th Percentile : 59 |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | : 46 Through 55 |  |
| Percent In Pace Speed | : 65.3 |  |
| Percent Under Pace Speed | : 15.3 |  |
| Percent Over Pace Speed | : 19.5 |  |


| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 21 | 5.3 | 85.8 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 20 | 5.0 | 90.8 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58 | 13 | 3.3 | 94.0 |
| 33 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59 | 17 | 4.3 | 98.3 |
| 34 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60 | 4 | 1.0 | 99.3 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 61 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.5 |
| 36 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 62 | 2 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 37 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 63 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 38 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 64 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 39 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 65 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 40 | 3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 66 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 6 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 67 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 6 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 10 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 13 | 3.3 | 10.8 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 18 | 4.5 | 15.3 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 23 | 5.8 | 21.0 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 19 | 4.8 | 25.8 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 20 | 5.0 | 30.8 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 23 | 5.8 | 36.5 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 32 | 8.0 | 44.5 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 37 | 9.3 | 53.8 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 31 | 7.8 | 61.5 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 26 | 6.5 | 68.0 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 28 | 7.0 | 75.0 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |


|  | SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project ID | : C9 |  |
| Street | IA 92 |  |
| Capture Zone | : 200 FT. E OF SOMERSET AVE. |  |
|  |  |  |
| Direction(s) | BOTH |  |
| Posted Speed Limit: | 50 |  |
| Types of Vehicles : ALL |  |  |
| Weather Conditions: SUNNY 60S |  |  |

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/25/11 Through 08/25/11
Time Range : 07:10:00A Through 08:37:00A
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed $: 34$ | 15th Percentile : 49 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Recorded Speed $: 67$ | 50 th Percentile : 54 |  |
| Average Speed | $: 53.7$ | 85 th Percentile : 59 |

Vehicles Observed $: 397 \quad 95 t h$ Percentile : 61

10 MPH Pace Speed : 50 Through 59
Percent In Pace Speed : 70.8
Percent Under Pace Speed : 17.9
Percent Over Pace Speed : 11.3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 35 | 8.8 | 70.8 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 29 | 7.3 | 78.1 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58 | 23 | 5.8 | 83.9 |
| 33 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59 | 19 | 4.8 | 88.7 |
| 34 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 60 | 12 | 3.0 | 91.7 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 61 | 14 | 3.5 | 95.2 |
| 36 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 62 | 11 | 2.8 | 98.0 |
| 37 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 63 | 2 | 0.5 | 98.5 |
| 38 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 64 | 3 | 0.8 | 99.2 |
| 39 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 65 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.2 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 66 | 2 | 0.5 | 99.7 |
| 41 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 67 | 1 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 42 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 1 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 7 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 45 | 2 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 7 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 13 | 3.3 | 9.6 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 16 | 4.0 | 13.6 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 17 | 4.3 | 17.9 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 24 | 6.0 | 23.9 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 32 | 8.1 | 32.0 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 26 | 6.5 | 38.5 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 26 | 6.5 | 45.1 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 32 | 8.1 | 53.1 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55 | 35 | 8.8 | 62.0 |  |  |  |  |


|  | SpeedStat Version 2.3 11/96 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project ID | : C10 |
| Street | IA 92 |
| Capture Zone | 450 FT. E. OF CONCORD LOOP |
| Direction(s) | : Both Facing West |
| Posted Speed Limit | : 55 |
| Types of Vehicles | : All |
| Weather Conditions | : sunny 80s |

Filter Settings
Date Range : 08/25/11 Through 08/25/11
Time Range : 12:12:00P Through 02:02:00P
Direction(s) : Approaching \& Departing
Types of Vehicles : All Vehicles

| Lowest Recorded Speed $: 34$ | 15th Percentile : 51 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Recorded Speed $: 71$ | 50th Percentile : 56 |  |
| Average Speed | $: 55.9$ | 85th Percentile : 60 |
| Vehicles Observed | $: 400$ | $95 t h$ Percentile : 64 |
|  |  |  |
| 10 MPH Pace Speed | $: 51$ Through 60 |  |


| SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ | SPEED | COUNT | PERCENT | CUM. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56 | 49 | 12.3 | 55.5 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57 | 31 | 7.8 | 63.3 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58 | 33 | 8.3 | 71.5 |
| 33 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59 | 27 | 6.8 | 78.3 |
| 34 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 60 | 33 | 8.3 | 86.5 |
| 35 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 61 | 12 | 3.0 | 89.5 |
| 36 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 62 | 9 | 2.3 | 91.8 |
| 37 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 63 | 12 | 3.0 | 94.8 |
| 38 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 64 | 7 | 1.8 | 96.5 |
| 39 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 65 | 9 | 2.3 | 98.8 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 66 | 1 | 0.3 | 99.0 |
| 41 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 67 | 3 | 0.8 | 99.8 |
| 42 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 68 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 43 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 69 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 44 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 70 | 0 | 0.0 | 99.8 |
| 45 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 71 | 1 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 46 | 3 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 5 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 73 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 48 | 5 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 74 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 9 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 75 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 19 | 4.8 | 12.0 | 76 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 51 | 17 | 4.3 | 16.3 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 20 | 5.0 | 21.3 | 78 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 53 | 28 | 7.0 | 28.3 | 79 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 27 | 6.8 | 35.0 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 55 | 33 | 8.3 | 43.3 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F - Traffic Signal Warrant

## Signal Warrant Analysis

Location: Pottawattamie County, IA
Project \# 40150015.11
Intersection: IA Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave.

## Page 1 of 4

| COUNTY: Pottawattamie <br> PREPARED BY: Jason PANG <br> DATE: Jan-18 | WARRANTS MET <br> WARRANTS NOT MET <br> 85th \%ILE SPEED |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 9 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WARRANTS | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volume (See Page 3) <br> Condition A <br> Condition B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100\% } \\ & \text { 100\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & 70 \% \end{aligned}$ | NO | NO |
| Warrant 2 - Four Hour Vehicular Volume (See Page 2) |  |  |  |  | NO |
| $70 \%$ of Warrant if 85th \%ile >40 MPH or Pop. < 10,000 | 100\% | N/A | 70\% | NO |  |
| Warrant 3 - Peak Hour (See Figures 4C-3 and 4C-4 on Page 2) |  |  |  |  | NO |
| 70\% of Warrant if 85th \%ile >40 MPH or Pop. < 10,000 | 100\% | N/A | 70\% | NO |  |
| Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume |  |  |  | NO | NO |
| Warrant 5-School Crossing |  |  |  | N/A | NO |
| Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System |  |  |  | N/A | NO |
| Warrant 7-Crash Experience |  |  |  | NO | NO |
| Warrant 8 - Roadway Network |  |  |  | N/A | NO |
| Warrant 9 - Intersection Near Railroad Crossing |  |  |  | N/A | NO |

## Signal Warrant Analysis

Location: Pottawattamie County, IA
Project \# 40150015.11
Intersection: IA Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave.
Page 2 of 4

| COUNTY | Pottawattamie | WARRANTS MET | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PREPARED BY | Jason PANG | WARRANTS NOT MET | 9 |
| DATE | Jan-18 | 85th \%ILE SPEED | 50 |

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

| Time | Major Street |  |  | Minor Stree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | Total | Major App. |
| 6-7 AM | 73 | 485 | $(558)$ | 45 |
| 7-8 AM | 231 | 721 | $(952)$ | 77 |
| 8-9 AM | 235 | 458 | $(693)$ | 55 |
| 9-10 AM |  |  | $(0)$ |  |
| 10-11 AM |  |  | $(0)$ |  |
| 11-12 AM | 268 | 295 | $(563)$ | 50 |
| 12-1 PM | 288 | 248 | $(536)$ | 57 |
| 1-2 PM |  |  | $(0)$ |  |
| 2-3 PM |  |  | $(0)$ |  |
| 3-4 PM | 621 | 287 | $(908)$ | 57 |
| 4-5 PM | 688 | 329 | $(1017)$ | 54 |
| 5-6 PM | 752 | 291 | $(1043)$ | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3156 | $\mathbf{3 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 2 7 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 5}$ |



## FOUR HOUR VOLUME WARRANT



PEAK HOUR VOLUME WARRANT


Note: 150 uph apples as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street
approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.


VEHICLES PER HOUR (VPH)
Note: 100 uph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-streed threshold volume tor a minor-street approach with one lane.

## Signal Warrant Analysis

Location: Pottawattamie County, IA
Project \# 40150015.11
Intersection: IA Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave.

## Page 3 of 4

| COUNTY | Pottawattamie | WARRANTS MET | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PREPARED BY | Jason PANG | WARRANTS NOT MET | 9 |
| DATE | Jan-18 | 85 th \%ILE SPEED | 50 |

WARRANT 1 - CONDITION A

## Condition A-Minimum Vehicular Volume

| Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach |  | Vehicles per hour on major street (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach (one direction only) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\circ}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |

## WARRANT 1 - CONDITION B

## Condition B-Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach |  | Vehicles per hour on major street (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach (one direction only) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\circ}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $100 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |

a Basic minimum hourly volume
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures
${ }^{\text {c }}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000
${ }^{d}$ May be used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000

## Signal Warrant Analysis

Location: Pottawattamie County, IA
Project \# 40150015.11
Intersection: IA Highway 92 \& Cypress Ave.

## Page 4 of 4

| COUNTY | Pottawattamie | WARRANTS MET | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PREPARED BY | Jason PANG | WARRANTS NOT MET | 9 |
| DATE | Jan-18 | 85th \%ILE SPEED | 50 |

## NOTES

The traffic volume data is collected by the County of Pottawattamie on 12/12/2017 on an hourly basis from 06:00 AM to 09:00 AM, from 11:00 AM to 01:00 PM and from 03:00 PM to 06:00 PM. The Peak Hour identified for Warrant 3 is from 05:00 PM to 06:00 PM

## RECOMMENDATION

The analyses indicated that a traffic signal is not warranted by any of the nine MUTCD warrants.
Specific warrant notes include:

- Warrant 1 (Eight Hour Vehicle): The 2017 collected counts confirm that traffic volumes do not satisfy Warrant 1 volume criteria.
- Warrant 2 (Four Hour Vehicle): The 2017 collected counts confirm that traffic volumes do not satisfy Warrant 2 volume criteria.
- Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Vehicle): The 2017 collected counts confirm that traffic volumes do not satisfy Warrant 3 volume criteria.
- Warrant 4 (Pedestrian): Based on traffic volumes at this location, Warrant 4 would require at least 75 pedestrians per hour for four different hours or greater than 93 pedestrians in a peak hour. The 2017 collected counts confirm that pedestrian volumes do not satisfy Warrant 4 volume criteria.
- Warrant 7 (Crash Experience): Warrant 7 would require at least five reported crashed that have occurred within a 12month period. The crash data of the most recent five years confirms that the number of reported crashes does not satisfy Warrant 7 crash number criteria.

Appendix G - Synchro Reports

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中t |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 186 | 31 | 10 | 709 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 64 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 186 | 31 | 10 | 709 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 64 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 143 | - | 105 | 115 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 88 | 55 | 50 | 97 | 50 | 75 | 25 | 33 | 42 | 67 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 18 | 211 | 56 | 20 | 731 | 4 | 68 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 64 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 41 | 640 | 100 | 4 | 307 | 3 | 38 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 27 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 41 | 640 | 100 | 4 | 307 | 3 | 38 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 27 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |
| Storage Length | 143 | － | 105 | 115 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 79 | 96 | 83 | 50 | 92 | 38 | 79 | 58 | 50 | 50 | 58 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 667 | 120 | 8 | 334 | 8 | 48 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 32 |




| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | ¢ 4 | 「 | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 27 | 202 | 39 | 13 | 280 | 2 | 43 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 27 | 202 | 39 | 13 | 280 | 2 | 43 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1856 | 1663 | 1752 | 1870 | 1870 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 34 | 230 | 71 | 26 | 289 | 4 | 57 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.97 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 739 | 1116 | 446 | 728 | 1136 | 16 | 510 | 26 | 26 | 270 | 30 | 148 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1103 | 3526 | 1409 | 1010 | 3589 | 50 | 1075 | 226 | 226 | 174 | 261 | 1303 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 34 | 230 | 71 | 26 | 143 | 150 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1103 | 1763 | 1409 | 1010 | 1777 | 1861 | 1528 | 0 | 0 | 1738 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 0.70 |  | 0.15 | 0.10 |  | 0.75 |
| Lane Grp $\operatorname{Cap}$ (c), veh/h | 739 | 1116 | 446 | 728 | 563 | 589 | 562 | 0 | 0 | 448 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1648 | 4019 | 1607 | 1559 | 2026 | 2122 | 2102 | 0 | 0 | 2115 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 335 |  |  | 319 |  |  | 81 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 4.1 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 6.6 |  |  | 6.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 6.3 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 9.5 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 4.5
HCM 6th LOS
A

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 41 | 640 | 100 | ， | 307 | 3 | 38 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 27 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 41 | 640 | 100 | 4 | 307 | 3 | 38 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 27 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1900 | 1856 | 1663 | 1752 | 1870 | 1870 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 51 | 727 | 182 | 8 | 316 | 6 | 51 | 28 | 18 | 17 | 10 | 27 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.97 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 752 | 1553 | 621 | 506 | 1571 | 30 | 374 | 64 | 41 | 283 | 53 | 115 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1075 | 3526 | 1409 | 575 | 3567 | 68 | 846 | 465 | 299 | 448 | 386 | 833 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 51 | 727 | 182 | 8 | 157 | 165 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1075 | 1763 | 1409 | 575 | 1777 | 1858 | 1610 | 0 | 0 | 1666 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.7 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 1.8 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 | 0.53 |  | 0.19 | 0.31 |  | 0.50 |
| Lane Grp $\operatorname{Cap}$（c），veh／h | 752 | 1553 | 621 | 506 | 783 | 819 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 452 | 0 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1183 | 2969 | 1187 | 737 | 1496 | 1565 | 1571 | 0 | 0 | 1559 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 960 |  |  | 330 |  |  | 97 |  |  | 54 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 4.4 |  |  | 3.8 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 7.5 | 13.9 | 7.5 | 13.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c +11 ），s | 3.1 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 5.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.4 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 4.7
HCM 6th LOS

## Appendix H - Potential Funding Source

## County-State Traffic Engineering Program (C-STEP)

## Intent of program

Solve traffic operation and safety problems on primary roads outside incorporated cities

## Who is eligible to sponsor?

Any lowa county

## Qualifications for funding

The county must engineer and administer the project. Improvements must involve a primary road outside any corporate limits.

The two types of projects eligible are spot improvements and linear improvements -

- Spot improvements are those limited to single locations. County match is 45 percent of the construction cost (55 percent is state funded).
- Linear improvements are those for which a single spot improvement is inadequate. County match determined by jurisdiction, as follows:
- state retains jurisdiction upon completion of project - county match 70 percent
- county accepts jurisdiction - county match 40 percent

An engineering analysis of the problem area is required.

- The lowa Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) can be used for analysis.
- A county engineer can provide the engineering analysis.
- DOT's Brown Design Manual can be cited for turning warrants when the request includes turning lanes.
- The Manual for Uniform Traffic Control Devices has warrants for traffic signals.


## Type of submittal required

Letters of request with a sketch and cost estimate submitted by interested parties

## Application amount minimum/maximum

Maximum of $\$ 200,000$ per project for spot improvements
Maximum on linear improvements as follows:

| Jurisdiction | $\frac{\text { Rehabilitation }}{}$ | Reconstruction <br> State retains <br> County accepts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 45,000$ per mile | $\$ 75,000$ per mile |  |
| $\$ 90,000$ per mile | $\$ 150,000$ per mile |  |

## Application deadline

Letters of request accepted all year
Special project requirements
DOT will review plans and specifications
Type of approval required
DOT staff approval and selection
Average length of time for acceptance decision
90 days

## More information/applications

The appropriate DOT district engineer (see map and listing on page 66)

## Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) <br> Intent of program

The Traffic Safety Improvement Program provides funding for traffic safety improvements or studies on any public roads under county, city or state jurisdiction.

## Who is eligible to request funding?

State, county or city

## Qualifications for funding

Eligible projects will fall into one of three categories:

- Site-specific: construction or improvement of traffic safety and operations at a specific site;
- Traffic control devices: purchase of materials for installation of new traffic control devices such as signs or signals, or replacement of obsolete signs or signals; or
- Research, studies, and public information initiatives: transportation safety research, studies or public information initiatives such as sign inventory, work zone safety and crash data.


## Type of submittal required

Application forms are available at www.iowadot.gov/tsip.htm.

## Application amount minimum/maximum

Site-specific project funding cannot exceed $\$ 500,000$ per project.

## Application deadline

August 15 is the deadline for all types of projects.

## Special project requirements

Refer to the lowa Administrative Code, Sec. 761, Chapter 164.

## Type of approval required

DOT staff, along with a city/county committee, recommends prioritization of projects to the lowa Transportation
Commission, which then approves funding of specific projects.

- Site-specific projects are evaluated by benefit/cost ratio analysis and other criteria.
- Funding for traffic control devices is awarded on the basis of safety benefits of eligible applications, the annual funding level and other criteria.
- Funding for research, studies and public information initiatives is awarded on the basis of safety research needs, impact on safety, the annual funding level, and other criteria.


## Average length of time for acceptance decision

Applications due: August 15
lowa Transportation Commission decision: usually by December
Funding available: July 1 (Funds may be available sooner for special cases.)

## Program's annual funding level

The program's annual funding level is one-half percent of lowa's Road Use Tax Fund (approximately $\$ 7$ million per year). Total funding for all traffic control device projects cannot exceed $\$ 500,000$ annually. Total funding for all research, studies, and public information initiatives cannot exceed $\$ 500,000$ annually.

## More information

lowa Department of Transportation
Office of Traffic and Safety
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, lowa 50010
515-239-1216

Other Business

# Jana Lemrick / Director of Human Resources 

Discussion and/or decision to approve
Natural Areas Management Intern, Crew Lead job description.

# POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY CONSERVATION BOARD JOB DESCRIPTION 

## POSITION TITLE:

REPORTS TO:

## SUPERVISES:

Natural Areas Management Intern, Crew Lead
Natural Resource Specialist and/or Natural Resource Technician

## Interns

PURPOSE OF POSITION: Responsible for assisting the Natural Resource Specialist and/or the Natural Resource Technician with the management of interns and assigned County parks and/or properties in accordance with Conservation Board policies, state laws, and standard conservation practices. The Natural Areas Management Intern Crew Lead operates from midMarch through November, schedule permitting.

## PRIMARY DUTIES:

Tree and brush removal using chainsaws, brushcutters, other machinery, and hand labor.
Invasive species control using herbicide, hand labor, and other tools.
Implementation of prescribed fire as part of a crew.
Trail maintenance and sustainability.

## ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS:

Under the direction of the Natural Resources Specialist, assume primary responsibility for intern crew operations including daily preparedness, equipment maintenance and rehab, work ethic and daily progress, safety, intern personnel relationships, skill-set development, and motivation.

Assist with the management of county parks including ecological restoration, habitat management, general maintenance and public relations.

Assist with implementation of prescribed fire.
Perform road and trail maintenance duties such as storm clean-up, tree cutting, erosion control, blading and filling holes.

Perform routine building maintenance and clean work area on a daily basis.

Assist with facilities construction and improvements.
Assist with the development and presentation of educational programs, projects, and events that promote conservation ethics, and natural resource appreciation.

Assist with the supervision of seasonal staff and volunteers.

## MARGINAL FUNCTIONS:

Perform other functions as directed or as the situation dictates.

## ESSENTIAL KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS, AND ABILITIES:

Experience leading or working on a crew performing similar activities as those described above (examples include land stewardship, wildfire, and biological monitoring crews).

Preferred candidates will possess experience in the safe operation and maintenance of outdoor equipment and power tools including skid loaders, brushcutters, chainsaws, UTVs and similar equipment.

General knowledge of and/or ability to learn principles of conservation, wildlife, and fish management and natural resource preservation.

General knowledge of the care and safe operation of both manual and power tools and equipment used in maintenance and repair tasks.

General knowledge of routine maintenance and repair to equipment, grounds, and facilities.
General knowledge of various outdoor recreational activities, such as boating, hiking, camping, hunting, fishing and canoeing.

Ability to understand and follow both oral and written instructions.
Ability to establish and maintain effective working relationships with supervisors, fellow employees, various civic organizations, and the general public.

Ability to learn plant identification (grasses, plants, trees, and invasive species).
Ability to be proficient with chainsaw operation, tree felling and safety.
Ability to work in a variety of extreme environments (inclement weather, extreme temperatures, hazardous terrain, brambles, insects, full sun, etc.).

Ability to perform strenuous physical labor for extended periods.

Ability to work independently and as part of a team.
Be willing to become a certified wildland firefighter (S-130/190).

## ESSENTIAL EDUCATION, CERTIFICATION, AND/OR LICENSES:

Must have a valid driver's license and maintain it throughout the course of employment. Must have a good driving record.

The incumbent cannot have been convicted of a felony in the past 2 years.
Pursuing a degree or working position in Environmental Science, Biology, Ecology, or any related field is preferred.

CPR and basic first aid certification is preferred.

## ESSENTIAL PHYSICAL DEMANDS AND TYPICAL WORKING CONDITIONS:

The physical demands and work environment characteristics described here are representative of those that must be met by an employee to successfully perform the essential functions of this job. Reasonable accommodations may be made to enable individuals with disabilities to perform the essential functions.

Attendance at work is an essential function of this position. Work is performed indoors and outdoors and requires a considerable amount of physical activity, including extended periods of sitting, standing, kneeling, bending, crouching, reaching, stooping, hiking, and climbing. Duties require the ability to walk for extended periods of time and the incumbent must have the ability to maneuver over rough terrain, climb steep banks and maneuver over ditches and uneven ground. An incumbent must have the ability to tolerate outdoor weather conditions for extended periods of time and must be able to work in direct sunlight. An incumbent must also have the ability to transport themselves to and from various locations throughout the County and surrounding jurisdictions.

Duties also require the ability to tolerate an indoor and outdoor work environment that includes contact with dirt, dust, pollen, noxious odors, poor lighting, confined spaces, electrical hazardous, hazardous chemicals, vibrations, dampness, wetness, and inclement weather conditions. An incumbent must have the ability to frequently lift, push, pull and/or carry equipment, supplies and other materials weighing up to 50 lbs . An incumbent must also possess the hand-eye coordination and manual dexterity necessary to use hands and arms to reach, finger, handle, grasp, and feel, and operate the following: vehicles, computers, hand and power tools, and any other pieces of equipment that are used to perform the essential functions of the job.

Work hours may occasionally be required before or after business hours. Noise level can be moderate to intense. Vision abilities, correctable to normal ranges, include close vision, distance
vision, peripheral vision, depth perception and the ability to adjust focus. Communication abilities include the ability to talk and hear within normal ranges.

Work requires interaction with the general public and may be stressful when dealing with irate citizens and/or time constraints.

## OTHER:

1. Internships may qualify for college credit.
2. Hours may include holidays, evenings, and weekends.
3. Professionalism and good public relations are essential, as is time management.
4. Performance evaluations will be completed.

POSITION LOCATIONS:
Headquarters will be based at Hitchcock Nature Center but work will occur throughout Pottawattamie County, Iowa, park and recreation areas: Arrowhead Park, Narrows River Park, Hitchcock Nature Center, Botna Bend Park, Old Town Park, Farm Creek Public Wildlife Area, Crescent Wildlife Area, Pheasants Forever Habitat Area, Wheeler Grove Conservation Area and other smaller areas as assigned.

The Pottawattamie County Conservation Board will provide equal employment opportunities to all candidates as set forth in state and federal law and our own EEO policies.

## Becky Lenihan / Finance \& Tax Officer

> Discussion and/or decision to
> approve/disallow the following
applications made to the Assessor's Office: Homestead (161 recommend allowed, 13 recommend disallowed), Military (9 recommend allowed, 0 recommend disallowed), Disabled Veteran Homestead
(4 recommend allowed, 1 recommend disallowed), Business Property Tax Credit
(23 recommend allowed, 0 recommend disallowed), Family Farm (10 recommend allowed, 0 recommend disallowed).

## Credit Apps to Auditor

February 2, 2022

|  | Recommend Allowed | Recommend Disallowed |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Homestead: | 161 | $\not 1 \quad 3$ |
| Military: | 9 | 0 |
| Disabled Veteran Homestead: | 4 | $\varnothing 1$ |
| BPTC: | 23 | 0 |
| Family Farm: | 10 | 0 |


| Disallowed | Credit Type | Reason for Disallowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 754436206017 | Homestead | James Mulqueen lives in a long-term care facility per email attached to application. |
| 773916156005 | Hodestiod | Address not property adiras |
| 773921300013 | Homestead | A dressmat pretertu aiviess |
| 773809383002 | Homevites | iddress not emplerdit siduess |
| 773809327014 | Homestared |  |
| 753924400002 | Hiomesteoni | Adiness met Arenotir edibes \% |
| 754436405007 | Homestrad | Address pot Alopotit dibess |
| $75406 \operatorname{los} 0 \times 2$ | Howestmat |  |
| $7=401240400$ | Hamestrwis | Adieress mox troterty adoless |
| 744010200001 744402434019 | Homestras |  Address not fropert exdress |
| 744402434013 |  | Adorss met donoit modes |
| 754331428001 |  | Absess ret fitpoix rueros |
| 754425412002 <br> 754427171008 | Homestast |  |
| 754426452005 | Sinaisted. $V=+\pi n=0$ homastead |  |

## Fee Book (01/01/2022-01/31/2022)

Criteria: \{FMXFUS01_RPT_POTT.TndrDate\} >= \#01/01/2022\# AND \{FMXFUS01_RPT_POTT.TndrDate\} <=\#01/31/2022\#

|  | Count | Total Fund Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recording Fees |  |  |
| RMA | 1352 | \$1,356.00 |
| E-Commerce | 1352 | \$1,356.00 |
| Audit | 338 | \$1,840.00 |
| Recording | 1352 | \$31,745.00 |
| County Transfer Tax | 162 | \$12,519.81 |
| State Transfer Tax | 162 | \$60,060.19 |
| Photo Copies | 21 | \$215.00 |
| Total For Recording Fees | 4739 | \$109,092.00 |
| Other Fees |  |  |
| COUNTY PASSPORT POSTAGE FUND | 42 | \$6,718.10 |
| Total For Other Fees | 42 | \$6,718.10 |
| Boats |  |  |
| Boat Writing | 20 | \$943.75 |
| Boat State | 20 | \$25,847.65. |
| Boat Title County | 7 | \$65.00: |
| Boat Title State | 7 | \$84.50 |
| Boat Liens State | 1 | \$6.50 |
| Use Tax | 16 | \$10,898.83 |
| Boat Lien County | 1 | \$5.00 |
| Road Pass | 18 | \$2,850.00 |
| DNR Postage | 17 | \$190.00 |
| Total For Boats | 107 | \$40,891.23 |
| ELSI |  |  |
| ELSI Couny | 39 | \$503.75 |
| ELSI State | 20 | \$4,181.00 |
| Total For ELSI | 59 | \$4,684.75 |
| Vitals |  |  |
| Cert Copy County | 60 | \$2,952.00 |
| Cert Copy State | 60 | \$8,118.00 |
| Marriage County | 24 | \$96.00 |
| Marriage State | 24 | \$744.00 |
| Total For Vitals | 168 | \$11,910.00 |
| Collected Total: |  | \$173,296.08 |
| Charged Total: |  | \$42.00 |
| Grand Total: |  | \$173,338.08 |

Recorder

| MR \# 41473 | Jan-22 |  | ck\# 5240 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Account \# | Account Name |  |  |
| \$3,048.00 | 0001-1-07-8110-413000-000 | Vital Records |  |  |
| \$1,356.00 | 0024-1-07-8110-400001-000 | RMA |  |  |
| \$503.75 | 0001-1-07-8110-409000-000 | ELSI |  |  |
| \$12,519.81 | 0001-1-07-8110-404000-000 | Transfer Tax |  |  |
| \$31,960.00 | 0001-1-07-8110-400000-000 | Office Fees |  |  |
| \$1,840.00 | 0001-1-07-8110-410000-000 | Auditor Fees |  |  |
| \$943.75 | 0001-1-07-8110-402000-000 | Boat Writing Fee |  |  |
| \$5.00 | 0001-1-07-8110-402000-000 | Boat Liens |  |  |
| \$6,718.10 | 0001-1-07-8110-414000-000 | Passports |  |  |
| \$190.00 | 0001-1-07-8110-415000-000 | DNR Boat Postage |  |  |
| \$2,850.00 | 0001-1-07-8110-407000-000 | ATV ROADPASS |  |  |
| \$61,934.41 |  | Checks prepared by: M.H. | ed by: M.A.B. |  |

Yupn Nomunator

Closed Session

## BUDGET DISCUSSION

## Discussion only


[^0]:    Tim Wichman, Chairman, Pottawattamie County
    Board of Supervisors

    Information of Individual taking Oath:
    Name: Rita Dooley
    Address: 24465 Richfield Loop
    Phone: (712) 310-7324
    email: rita.f.dooley@gmail.com

[^1]:    Crash Criteria

    Jurisdiction: Statewide
    Year: 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
    Map Selection: Yes
    Filter: None

